# "EFFICACY OF PLATELET RICH PLASMA THERAPY IN PATIENTS OF MELASMA: A SINGLE-BLINDED PLACEBO CONTROLLED RANDOMIZED STUDY"



## THESIS

Submitted to

All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Jodhpur In partial fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of

# **DOCTOR OF MEDICINE (MD)**

(DERMATOLOGY, VENEREOLOGY AND LEPROLOGY)

AIIMS, JODHPUR

**DR. NEELAM CHHAJED** 

**JUNE 2022** 

# "EFFICACY OF PLATELET RICH PLASMA THERAPY IN PATIENTS OF MELASMA: A SINGLE-BLINDED PLACEBO CONTROLLED RANDOMIZED STUDY"



### THESIS

### Submitted to

All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Jodhpur In partial fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of

## **DOCTOR OF MEDICINE (MD)**

## (DERMATOLOGY, VENEREOLOGY AND LEPROLOGY)

AIIMS, JODHPUR

**DR. NEELAM CHHAJED** 

**JUNE 2022** 



DECLARATION

# I hereby declare that thesis entitled "EFFICACY OF PLATELET RICH PLASMA THERAPY IN PATIENTS OF MELASMA : A SINGLE-BLINDED PLACEBO CONTROLLED RANDOMIZED TRIAL" embodies the original work carried out by the undersigned

Neekin

Dr. Neelam Chhajed Department of Dermatology, Venereology and Leprology AIIMS, Jodhpur



#### All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Jodhpur

#### CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis titled "EFFICACY OF PLATELET RICH PLASMA THERAPY IN PATIENTS OF MELASMA : A SINGLE-BLINDED PLACEBO CONTROLLED RANDOMIZED TRIAL" is the bonafide work of Dr. NEELAM CHHAJED carried out under our guidance and supervision, in the Department of Dermatology, Venereology and Leprology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Jodhpur.

Supervisor

DR. SAURABH SINGH Associate Professor Department of Dermatology, Venereology & Leprology

**Co-Supervisors** 

DR. ABHISHEK BHARDWAJ Additional Professor and Head Department of Dermatology, Venereology & Leprology

Rupene

DR. ANUPAMA BAINS Assistant Professor Department of Dermatology, Venereology & Leprology

DR. ANIL BUDANIA Associated Professor Department of Dermatology, Venereology & Leprology

Manda

DR. SAPTARSHI MANDAL Associated Professor Department of Transfusion Medicine



#### All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Jodhpur

#### CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis titled "EFFICACY OF PLATELET RICH PLASMA THERAPY IN PATIENTS OF MELASMA : A SINGLE-BLINDED PLACEBO CONTROLLED RANDOMIZED TRIAL" is the bonafide work of Dr. NEELAM CHHAJED carried out under our guidance and supervision, in the Department of Dermatology, Venereology and Leprology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Jodhpur.

Dr. Abhishek Bhardwaj

Additional Professor and Head, Department of Dermatology, Venereology and Leprology AIIMS, Jodhpur

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First and foremost, I would like to thank the Almighty for bestowing me the strength, knowledge, and ability to undertake this research study and to persevere and complete it satisfactorily. Without his blessings, this achievement would not have been possible.

It is my proud privilege to express my deep sense of gratitude and sincere thanks to my supervisor **Dr. Saurabh Singh** who provided me an opportunity to work under his guidance. His guidance was paramount in providing a well-rounded experience and knowledge. He has always been a source of encouragement and inspiration. I wish to express my deep in debt gratitude for devoting his valuable time out of his busy schedule and being concerned for the completion of this project. I shall remain grateful to him forever.

I express my sincere gratitude to my co-supervisors **Dr. Abhishek Bhardwaj**, **Dr. Anil Budania**, **Dr. Anupama Bains**, **Dr. Saptarshi Mandal** for their constant support, encouragement, guidance and help throughout my work.

I want to take moment to express my sincere thanks and gratitude to **Dr. Suman Patra** for guiding me.

Most importantly I would like to thank my parents, Mr. Vinod Kumar Chhajed and Mrs. Lalita Chhajed for their constant support and my loving sister Divya. Their support, encouragement and unconditional love were undeniably the bedrock upon which my life has been built.

I would like to acknowledge and thank Senior residents Dr. Varun, Dr. Maninder and Dr. Rinkal, for their presence and constant support. I am deeply grateful to Senior Resident, Dr. Utkrist Lahoria for his immense support and help during difficult times of my study period.

Thanking my senior Dr Afroz, my companion Dr Sahiba Rafi, my juniors Dr. Benetta, Dr. Monisha, Dr. Devesh, Dr. Nagbhusan, Dr. Shubham, Dr. Subhajit, Dr. Kriti, Dr. Nazneen, Dr. Shilpi, Dr. Yamini, Dr. Thoyyib, Dr. Priyanka, Dr.Akriti, Dr. Karthick for their help during my day to day work. I wold also like to thank Dr Akhil Sir, Dr. Surjeet sir and Dr Sussender for their help.

Special thanks to Dr. Richa, Dr. Aakash, Dr. Isha, Dr. Netranand, Dr. Pankaj for their constant support.

I also thank our nursing officers Mr. Deepak, Mrs. Santosh and ward-lady Mrs. Ruby Yadav for their co-operation during injection procedures. I am grateful to our department clerk Mrs. Saroj, and other departmental staff Mr. Praveen, Mr. Rameez Raza, Mrs.Pooja, Mr. Poonaram for their co-operation.

Last but not the least I thank my patients, without their cooperation thesis would not have been possible.

#### **DR. NEELAM CHHAJED**

## **CONTENTS**

| SR. NO | PARTICULARS           | PAGE |
|--------|-----------------------|------|
| 1      | Summary               | i    |
| 2      | List of abbreviations | iii  |
| 3      | List of tables        | V    |
| 4      | List of figures       | vii  |
| 5      | List of annexures     | viii |
| 6      | Introduction          | 01   |
| 7      | Review of Literature  | 02   |
| 8      | Materials and Methods | 34   |
| 9      | Results               | 40   |
| 10     | Discussion            | 70   |
| 11     | Conclusion            | 75   |
| 12     | Bibliography          | 77   |
| 13     | Annexures             | 85   |

#### SUMMARY

#### **Background:**

Melasma is a common acquired pigmentary disorder having multiple treatment options ranging from topical medications, oral therapies, peels and lasers and yet its treatment remains challenging due to its recurrent nature.

#### **AIMS AND OBJECTIVE:**

To evaluate the effectiveness of Platelet rich plasma (PRP) in treatment of melasma by comparing it with placebo (normal saline) and measuring its additive effect over and above Hydroquinone.

#### Methods :

This was an interventional, split face randomized control trial of 64 patients. PRP was injected intradermally over lesional skin on one half of face and placebo on other half by using insulin syringe. This treatment was administered 4 weekly for 4 sessions. Hydroquinone 2% cream was also applied over melasma site and sunscreen over full-face. Melasma was scored using Hemi-Modified Melasma area sevserity Index (Hemi-mMASI), Melasma Severity Index (MSI) and Investigators Global assessment scores (IGA) at each visit. Dermoscopy, patient's global assessment score and Melasma quality-of-life score were recorded at the start and end of the study.

#### **Results:**

Total 45 patients completed the study with female to male ratio 1.8:1 and mean age of 29 years. Hemi-mMASI at baseline in PRP group was  $2.3 \pm 1.47$  which reduced to  $1.56 \pm 0.98$  as compared to placebo group which reduced from  $2.51 \pm 1.79$  to  $1.59 \pm 0.97$  at the end of 16 weeks (p> 0.05). On comparing investigators global assessment between PRP and placebo group the difference was not statistically significant (p<0.05). Patients' global assessment was 50% improvement in 55% patients. Dermoscopy showed grade 1 improvement in pigmentation among 51% patients of PRP group and in 56% patients of saline group. MELASQOL score reduced by 26% between baseline and at 16 weeks. Side effects noted were mainly erythema, exacerbation of acne and pain during injection.

#### **Conclusion:**

Although PRP decreasing pigmentation is an interesting finding but on comparing PRP with saline, PRP had not provided any additional benefits over and above hydroquinone in our patients of melasma. More larger placebo controlled studies are required.

#### Limitation:

Limitation could be small sample size and limited number of PRP sittings.

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| UV      | Ultraviolet                                    |
|---------|--|
| VEGF    | Vascular endothelial growth factor             |
| PIH     | Post-inflammatory hyperpigmentation            |
| DAGs    | 1,2-diacyglycerols                             |
| NO      | Nitric oxide                                   |
| ACTH    | Adrenocorticotropic hormone                    |
| MSH     | Melanocytic stimulating hormone                |
| PDZK 1  | PDZ domain kidney protein 1                    |
| WIF 1   | WNT inhibitory factor                          |
| COC     | Combined hormonal oral contraceptive pills     |
| MASI    | Melasma area and severity index                |
| HQ      | Hydroquinone                                   |
| ТА      | Tranexamic acid                                |
| QNd:YAG | Q switched neodymium:yttrium-aluminium- garnet |
| Er:YAG  | Erbium YAG laser                               |
| GA      | Glycolic acid                                  |
| FA      | Fluocinolone acetonide                         |
| L-PRP   | Leucocyte- and PRP                             |
| L-PRF   | Leucocyte- platelet rich fibrin                |
| PDGF    | Platelet derived Growth Factor                 |
| TGFβ-1  | Transforming Growth Factor- Beta1              |
| FGF1    | Fibroblast Growth Factor                       |
| ET 1    | Endothelin 1                                   |
| SCF     | Stem cell factor                               |
| РКА     | Protein kinase A                               |
| POMC    | Proopiomelanocortin                            |
| CAMP    | Cyclic adenosine monophosphate pathway         |

| MITF     | Melanocytic inducing transcription factor |
|----------|---|
| ER       | Estrogen receptor                         |
| CREB     | CRE binding protein                       |
| NFAT 1   | Nuclear factor of activated T cells       |
| RCM      | Reflectance confocal microscopy           |
| MELASQOL | Melasma quality of life scale             |
| TCC      | Triple combination cream                  |
| QSRL     | Q switched ruby laser                     |
| IPL      | Intense pulse laser                       |
| ТСА      | Tricholoroacetic acid                     |
| RA       | Retinoic acid                             |
| PRP      | Platelet rich plasma                      |
| P-PRP    | Pure platelet rich plasma                 |
| EGF      | Epidermal Growth Factor                   |
| IGF-1    | Insulin-like Growth Factor1               |
| VEGF     | Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor        |
| mMASI    | Mean modified Melasma area severity Index |

# LIST OF TABLES

| Table     | Dontionloss  | Page |
|-----------|--|------|
| no.       | raruculars   |      |
| 1         | Histological and morphological correlation with reflectance          | 07   |
|           | confocal microscopy  | 07   |
| 2         | Classification of interventions for melasma                          | 11   |
| 3         | Conventional topical drugs   | 16   |
| 4         | Less well-established interventions                                  | 18   |
| 5         | Level of evidence  | 20   |
| 6         | Strength of recommendation   | 20   |
| 7         | Melasma drugs level and strength of recommendation.                  | 20   |
| 8         | Studies on oral therapies in melasma                                 | 22   |
| 9         | Studies on procedural therapies in melasma                           | 25   |
| 10        | Classification of PRP  | 30   |
| 11        | Growth factors found in ∝-granule                                    | 31   |
| 12        | PRP studies in melasma   | 33   |
| 12        | Distribution of demographic characteristics of study subjects.       | 40   |
| 14        | Distribution of total duration of illness (years) of study subjects. | 42   |
| 15        | Distribution of co-morbidities among the study subjects              | 43   |
| 16        | Distribution of sunscreen use among the study subjects.              | 44   |
| 17        | Distribution of Fitzpatrick skin type of study subjects.             | 45   |
| 18        | Distribution of pattern of melasma                                   | 46   |
| 19        | Distribution of baseline dermoscopic patterns among study subjects   | 47   |
| 20        | Comparison of modified melasma area severity index {Full face}       | 48   |
| 20        | between baseline and follow up of study subjects (PP analysis).      | -10  |
| 21        | Comparison of modified melasma area severity index {Full face}       | 49   |
| <u>~1</u> | between baseline and follow up of study subjects (ITT analysis)      |      |
| 22        | Comparison of Hemi-mMASI in Group A (intragroup PP analysis)         | 49   |
| 23        | Comparison of H-mMASI in Group A (Intragroup ITT analysis)           | 49   |
| 24        | Comparison of Hemi-mMASI in Group B (Intragroup, PP analysis)        | 50   |

| 25 | Comparison of Hemi-mMASI in Group B (Intragroup, ITT analysis)                                       | 50 |
|----|--|----|
| 26 | Comparison of hemi-mMASI between group A and B intergroup analysis (PP).                             | 51 |
| 27 | Comparison of hemi-mMASI between group A and B intergroup<br>analysis (ITT analysis)                 | 52 |
| 28 | Comparison of change in hemi-mMASI between group A and B (PP analysis).                              | 52 |
| 29 | Comparison of change in hemi-mMASI between group A and B (ITT analysis).                             | 53 |
| 30 | Comparison of Melasma severity index {Full face} between<br>baseline and follow up of study subjects | 53 |
| 31 | Comparison of IGA between group A and B (PP analysis)  | 54 |
| 32 | Comparison of PGA between group A and B  | 55 |
| 33 | Change in dermoscopy between group A and group B   | 56 |
| 34 | Comparison of Melasma quality of life scale between baseline and follow up of study subjects         | 57 |
| 35 | Comparison of adverse effects between group A and B  | 57 |

# LIST OF FIGURES

| Sr. no    | Particulars  | Page no. |
|-----------|--|----------|
| Figure 1  | Study flow chart   | 39       |
| Figure 2  | Distribution of gender of study subjects.                    | 41       |
| Figure 3  | Box and Whisker Plot of age (years) of study subjects.       | 41       |
| Figure 4  | Bar Graph showing total duration of illness (years) of study | 42       |
|           | subjects   |          |
| Figure 5  | Distribution of sunscreen usage of study subjects.           | 44       |
| Figure 6  | Distribution of Fitzpatrick skin type of study subjects.     | 45       |
| Figure 7  | Distribution of baseline dermoscopic findings among          | 47       |
|           | study subjects   |          |
| Figure 8  | Comparison of modified melasma area severity index {Full     | 48       |
|           | face} between baseline and follow up of study subjects.      |          |
| Figure 9  | Trend of hemi-mMASI at different time intervals in groups    | 51       |
|           | A and B.   |          |
| Figure 10 | Trend of change in Hemi-mMASI in groups A and B.             | 52       |
| Figure 11 | Trend of IGA at different time intervals in groups A and B.  | 54       |
| Figure 12 | Bar graph showing comparison of PGA between groups A         | 55       |
|           | and B  |          |
| Figure 13 | Bar graph showing post-treatment dermoscopic                 | 56       |
|           | improvement in groups A and B at 16 weeks.                   |          |
| Figure 14 | Clinical image   | 58       |
| Figure 15 | Clinical image   | 59       |
| Figure 16 | Clinical image   | 60       |
| Figure 17 | Clinical image   | 61       |
| Figure 18 | Clinical image   | 62       |
| Figure 19 | Clinical image   | 63       |
| Figure 20 | Clinical image of side effects                               | 64       |
| Figure 21 | Dermoscopy of melasma  | 67       |
| Figure 22 | Dermoscopic image  | 68       |
| Figure 23 | Dermoscopic image  | 69       |

# LIST OF ANNEXURES

| SR. NO        | PARTICULARS                           | PAGE NO. |
|---------------|---------------------------------------|----------|
| ANNEXURE-I    | INSTITUTIONAL ETHICAL COMMITTEE       | 85       |
| ANNEXURE-II   | MODIFIED MELASMA AREA SEVERITY INDEX  | 86       |
| ANNEXURE-III  | MELASMA SEVERITY INDEX                | 86       |
| ANNEXURE-IV   | MELASMA QUALITY OF LIFE INDEX         | 87       |
| ANNEXURE-V    | PATIENT CASE SHEET PROFORMA           | 88       |
| ANNEXURE-VI   | PATIENT INFORMED CONSENT FORM         | 93       |
| ANNEXURE-VII  | PATIENT INFORMED CONSENT FORM (HINDI) | 94       |
| ANNEXURE-VIII | PATIENT INFORMATION SHEET             | 95       |
| ANNEXURE-IX   | PATIENT INFORMATION SHEET (HINDI)     | 96       |

### **INTRODUCTION**

Melasma is a hyperpigmentary disorder characterized by irregular, brown pigmented macules over photo-exposed areas of face, such as forehead, cheeks, upper lips and nose. Though more common in females, it can also occur in men. It is more common in darker skin types, (Fitzpatrick skin types III to VI) compared to lighter skin types. Multiple etiological factors have been implicated in the pathogenesis of melasma including pregnancy, oral contraceptives, genetics, sun exposure, cosmetics and race <sup>(1)</sup>.

Several agents are available for treatment of melasma which include various topical agents, chemical peels, lasers and oral therapy. Sunscreens and topical depigmenting creams remain mainstay of therapy. Traditional treatments for melasma includes hydroquinone, kojic acid, azelaic acid, retinoids, topical steroids and arbutin along with physical and chemical sun protection. Topical agents are generally used as the first line therapy followed by chemical peels as the second line followed by oral therapy and lasers, which are usually restricted to refractory cases not responding to other modalities<sup>(2)</sup>. The treatment of melasma still remains difficult and challenging inspite of the multiple therapeutic options due to recurrent nature and compliance with sun protective therapies which wane with time. Also, there are complications associated with these therapies such as erythema ,irritation and post-inflammatory hyperpigmentation (PIH). Platelet rich plasma (PRP) is an upcoming therapy for melasma as shown in existing literature.

PRP is a plasma rich in platelets and contains higher than normal platelet concentration, prepared by centrifugation of whole blood <sup>(3)</sup>. The alpha granules of platelets contain abundant cytokines and growth factors affecting wound healing, collagen production and control homeostasis<sup>(4)</sup>.

Even though there are encouraging results in existing literature, effect of PRP on melasma has not been studied systematically in a placebo controlled trial. Therefore we planned to study the effects of PRP over and above standard therapy in patient of melasma in a split face manner.

### **REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

Melasma is an acquired disorder of pigmentation disorder. It most commonly affects women with darker skin and is characterized by brown-coloured macules over sunexposed areas of face such as forehead, cheeks, lips, and nose. Risk factors for melasma include genetic factors, UV light exposure, pregnancy, oral contraceptives, and drugs such as phenytoin <sup>(1,2,5,6)</sup>.

#### **EPIDEMIOLOGY**

It has a prevalence of 1% in general population and 9 to 50% in higher-risk populations. It is particularly common among Hispanics, African Americans, Brazilians and Asians, where ultraviolet radiation is more intense<sup>(7)</sup>. In an Indian study incidence of 41% was found in paddy workers<sup>(8)</sup>. The age at onset on an average ranges between 20 and 30 years.

A study of 312 melasma patients in India showed that female to male ratio was  $4:1^{(9)}$ . In India, it was also discovered that males (33.5 and 3.5 years) and females (33.5 and 3.5 years) had similar average ages and disease durations (31.5 and 3.1 years)<sup>(7)</sup>.

#### PATHOGENESIS OF MELASMA

Genetic factors, persistent ultraviolet (UV) exposure, and female hormones are main causes of melasma. Inflammation is also implicated in melasma development <sup>(10,11)</sup>.

#### Genetic factors

Racial and positive family history are reported relating the melasma incidence<sup>(12)</sup>. For instance, Latin Americans and Hispanics and Asians with Fitzpatrick skin type III–V are likely to have pigmentary disorders such as melasma and post inflammatory hyperpigmentation (PIH)<sup>(10,13)</sup>.

#### UV exposure

Ultraviolet exposure stimulates release of melanogenic factors from melanocytes and keratinocytes directly and indirectly. Direct effect of UV irradiation causes formation of 1,2- diacylglycerols' (DAGs) with protein kinase C-beta activation<sup>(14)</sup> and nitric

oxide (NO) production following cyclic guanosine monophosphate synthesis<sup>(15)</sup>. Keratinocyte-derived melanogenic factors such as Adrenocorticotropic hormone, Melanocyte-Stimulating hormone (MSH), Endothelin 1 (ET-1) indirectly affected by UV irradiation<sup>(16)</sup>.

UV-induced melanogenesis is induced by the interaction of many paracrine secretion including Proopiomelanocortin (POMC) -derived peptides, ET-1 and stem cell factor (SCF). The binding of melanocortin to MC-1 receptor, leads to melanogenesis via cyclic adenosine monophosphate (AMP) pathway and then activates protein kinase A (PKA) and Melanocyte Inducing Transcription Factor (MITF). Keratinocytes also produce NO and cause melanogenic effect after the UV radiation. Additionally, dermal fibroblasts release Stem Cell Factor (SCF), on direct exposure to UV, suggesting the communication between melanocytes and fibroblasts in UV-induced hyperpigmentation<sup>(11,17,18)</sup>.

Ortonne et al. reported that time spent outdoors during pregnancy was associated with risk of melasma onset. Post-pregnancy melasma is significantly related to darker skin type and most patients have family history of melasma. These factors often triggers melasma. The result showed a combination of genetic factor, Fitzpatrick skin type and UV exposure induce melasma<sup>(19)</sup>.

#### Female sex hormones

Association of melasma and oral contraceptives during women's reproductive lifespan can also be an another important factor affecting the prognosis and severity of melasma development. Affected skin had increase in expression of estrogen receptor (ER). Significant immunohistochemical expression of ER- beta could only be found in dermal melasma. By binding of estrogen and ER, there is induction of melanogenesis.

PDZ domain protein kidney 1 (PDZK1) expression is upregulated in melasma affected skin. PDZK1 is overexpressed in melanocyte monocultures which results in overexpression of CRE-binding protein (CREB), MITF and tyrosinase. PDZ domain protein kidney 1(PDZK1) facilitates estrogen interactions with other proteins, thereby promoting melanogenesis and melanosome transfer in patients of melasma<sup>(20)</sup>.

#### Local cutaneous factors

#### (1) Increased number of mast cell

Various studied showed even though the number of mast cells are increased in melasma lesions, mast cells do not have exact role in melanogenesis<sup>(10)</sup>.

#### (2) Role of WIF-1 in fibroblasts in melanogenesis

Chronic sunlight exposure results in secretion of SCF from fibroblasts resulting in increased pigmentation<sup>(17,18)</sup>. Reduced Wnt inhibitory factor-1 (WIF-1) expression is involved in activation of melanogenesis and melanosome transfer.

WIF-1 is not expressed in melanocytes whereas it is present in cultured normal human keratinocytes and fibroblasts. The decreased expression of WIF-1 in keratinocytes and fibroblasts reduces the binding of WIF-1 to Wnts in melanocytes. This results in MITF upregulation and translocation of nuclear factor of activated T cells (NFAT) to nucleus Wnt/beta-catenin pathways<sup>(10,21)</sup>.

#### (3) Increased vascularization

Altered dermal vasculature is found in melasma and UV-damaged skin. Blood vessels and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) are increased in dermal melasma. However, VEGF role in melanogenesis is still not clear<sup>(22)</sup>.

#### (4) Solar elastosis

In dermal melasma abnormalities of extracellular matrix are observed. Solar elastosis occurs due to prolonged sun exposure and is characterised by accumulation of abnormal elastic tissue. Photoaging plays an important role in development of melasma. Ultraviolet B exposure causes secretion of SCF, bFGF interleukin-1, endothelin-1, inducible nitric oxide synthase, MSH and PGE2 by keratinocytes. This leads to epidermal and dermal hyperpigmentation<sup>(10)</sup>.

#### (5) Basement membrane disruption

Long time UV exposure leads to basement membrane disruption and eventually melasma. During chronic UV irradiation, the expression of collagen degrading proteins (MMP-2 and MMP-9) is upregulated which causes basement membrane disruption, causing melanocytes and melanin to drop in dermis<sup>(17)</sup>.

#### MicroRNAs and their targets

MicroRNA-675 expression is reduced in lesional melasma skin. When miR-675 expression is increased tyrosinase and melanogenic factors are less activated. Thus microRNA play role in melasma<sup>(23,24)</sup>.

#### CLINICAL FEATURES

It is characterised by patches of light brown to dark brown colour on sun-exposed skin. The lesions are generally symmetric and affect forehead, nose, cheeks, upper lip area, and chin. It is more common in females, with a male to female ratio being 1:4<sup>(9)</sup>. In an Indian study by Sarkar et al, major risk factors in men were - sun exposure (48.8%) and family history (39.0 percent) while in women were - Pregnancy (45.3%), sun exposure (23.9%) and combination oral contraceptive (19.4 percent). Pregnancy and use of oral contraceptives induces melasma in roughly 40-50 percent of female patients. After combined oral contraceptives about 8 to 34% women have been to shown to have melasma. It can also occur after hormone replacement therapy<sup>(12)</sup>.

#### Classification of melasma

Common facial patterns of distribution for melasma include,

- 1) Centrofacial pattern affecting forehead, cheeks, nose, upper lip, and chin,
- 2) Malar pattern over lateral cheek areas and
- 3) Mandibular pattern over lower jawline in mandibular area.

Study by Yalamanchili et al<sup>(25)</sup>, showed that the malar was the most common region affecting 68 percent, followed by the central face (25 percent) and mandible (7 percent). The most common pattern in women is the centrofacial pattern and amongst men, the malar pattern is predominant accounting for 44.1 to 61 percent of patients<sup>(26)</sup>. The second most common pattern in men is the centrofacial variant. Some patients may develop extrafacial melasma, which is far less common than the facial type. The study by Ritter et al on melasma patients in Brazil showed that 45 patients had extrafacial melasma. Sites involved were the most commonly arms (95 percent), followed by forearms (80 percent), chest (47 percent) and least commonly back (11 percent)<sup>(27)</sup>. However study by Tamega et al. on Brazilian women showed zygomatic to be most commonly involved area<sup>(13)</sup>.

Melasma can also be classified according to the depth of pigmentation into

- 1) <u>Epidermal</u> seen as light brown pigmentation
- 2) <u>Dermal</u> seen as bluish grey pigmentation
- 3) <u>Mixed</u> dark brown pigmentation.

In the epidermal type, increased pigmentation is seen in all layers, whereas malanophages are present in papillary as well as reticular dermis in dermal type of melasma.

Melasma has a remitting and relapsing course. Spontaneous remission occurs rarely. Its relapse occurs with even with mild sun exposure. Also, because no effective medication exists to remove dermal pigment, dermal melasma may take longer to resolve than epidermal pigment. The epidermis is the source of dermal pigment, and if epidermal melanogenesis is blocked over an extended length of time, dermal pigment will not refill and will gradually fade.

Resistant cases and recurrences of melasma are common and unavoidable if sun protective regimes are inadequate<sup>(28)</sup>.

#### DIAGNOSIS

Melasma is primarily diagnosed clinically.

Wood's lamp examination can help in identifying depth of pigment, especially in individuals with lighter complexions (Fitzpatrick phototypes I to III). Epidermal melanosis is characterized by well-circumscribed pigmentation with accentuated borders. Dermal melanosis is ill defined and has poorly circumscribed and is not accentuated under Wood's lamp illumination. Wood's lamp examination is however less reliable in Fitzpatrick phototypes IV, V, and VI. In these patients, the density of melanin can make variations between the skin layers difficult to differentiate<sup>(7)</sup>.

Dermoscopy can be used for diagnosing melasma and assess intensity of pigmentation. Dermoscopy can reveal accentuation of the normal pseudoreticular pigmentary network, increased vascularity, telangiectasia and arcuate structures<sup>(8)</sup>. Dermoscopy also can distinguish melasma from ochronosis which shows attenuation of follicular openings by amorphous densely-pigmented structures<sup>(7,29)</sup>.

Melasma is distinguished histologically by epidermal hyperpigmentation. There is no increase in the number of melanocytes. Melanocytes exhibit hypertrophy, with an increase in the number of dendrites and cytoplasmic organelles, indicating increased metabolic activity. The amount of melanin in all layers of the epidermis increases, as does the number of mature melanosomes, notably in the basal and suprabasal layers. There is a modest to moderate mononuclear infiltration of mast cells in the dermis, as well as enhanced vascularity and elastosis. Adjacent healthy skin and skin with melasma showed no differences in dermal pigmentation<sup>(7)</sup>.

Reflectance confocal microscopy (RCM), a direct and non-invasive technique through which epidermis and upper dermis can be visualized can be used for melasma. At higher resolution we can see hypertrophied melanocytes with melanin in all layers of the epithelium and dermis. Thus this technique can be used in clinical trials for assessment of treatment response<sup>(7)</sup>. Morphological findings on RCM along with their histological correlates in patients with melasma described by Kang et al is shown in table 1<sup>(30)</sup>.

Table 1: Histological and morphological correlation with reflectance confocal microscopy

| Morphological criteria | RCM                                   | Histological correlation           |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Epidermis              | Hyper-refractile<br>cobblestone cells | Hyperpigmented basal keratinocytes |
|                        | Dendritic cells                       | Activated melanocytes              |
| Dermis                 | Plump bright cells                    | Melanophages                       |
|                        | Lacy structures                       | Solar elastosis                    |
|                        | Tubular structures                    | Blood vessels                      |

#### **ASSESSMENT OF SEVERITY :**

#### Clinical scores :

The severity of facial melasma can be estimated by using various clinical scores like MASI score (Melasma Area and Severity Index) and Modified MASI, also tools like The most commonly used is MASI index, which was proposed by Kimbrough-Green et al, for clinical quantification of severity of facial melasma.

Melasma Area Severity Index score is calculated using 3 factors – melasma affected area (A), degree of hyperpigmentation (D) and its homogeneity (H). Face is divided into four quadrants - forehead (F), right malar region (MR), left malar region (ML) and chin (C).

Numerical score assigned corresponding to the percentage of area involved:

- 0= no involvement 1=< 10% involvement 2=10-29% involvement 3=30-49% involvement 4=50-69% involvement
- 5=70-89% involvement
- 6=90-100% involvement

The darkness of melasma(D) graded in comparison to normal skin as follows;

0=normal skin color without evidence of hyperpigmentation

- 1=barely visible hyperpigmentation
- 2=mild hyperpigmentation
- 3=moderate hyperpigmentation
- 4=severe hyperpigmentation.

Homogeneity of the hyperpigmentation (H) is graded on a scale of 0 to 4 as follows:

0=normal skin color without evidence of hyperpigmentation

1=specks of involvement

2=small patchy areas of involvement < 1.5 cm diameter

3=patches of involvement> 2 cm diameter

4=uniform skin involvement without any clear areas.

More the total score, more is the severity of disease.

In modified MASI, only hyperpigmentation and area is assessed. Modified MASI was proposed by Pandya et al which showed that assessing homogenicity in MASI was the most difficult part of calculation and that removing homogenecity from MASI score does not alter the validity and reliability of the score<sup>(31)</sup>.

Melanin severity index (MSI) was proposed by Majid et al. in 2016 which claimed to have better Inter-rater reliability as compared to MASI, study has shown that both mMASI and MSI scores were fairly correlated with the objective data from mexameter and image analysis software. However, the validity of MSI was found to be superior as the Spearman's rho values were higher for MSI than those for mMASI.

For MSI, the formula used was 0.4  $(a \times p^2) l + 0.4 (a \times p^2) r + 0.2 (a \times p^2) n$  where "a" is the area, "p" is pigmentation, "l" is left face, "r" is right face, and "n" is nose <sup>(32)</sup>.

#### Instrument based

Colorimetry and Mexameter can be used<sup>(7)</sup>.

Reliability of the MASI score has been called into question by several authors, can thus newer techniques like colorimetry and mexameter have been developed for assessment of melasma.

### Quality of life

Melasma although benign and asymptomatic can cause psychosocial as well as emotional discomfort, and thereby lowering patients' quality of life.

Balkrishnan et al. created and validated the MelasQoL (Melasma Quality of Life Scale) in 2003, which is a 10-question questionnaire designed to assess the impact of melasma on emotional state, social interactions, and everyday activities. The study showed that psychometric properties of the MELASQOL were comparable with the properties of the DLQI and the SKINDEX-16 (33). However in contrast to skindex-16 and general questionnaries DLQI, the English MelasQoL has higher internal consistency, validity and discriminatory power. Different countries all over the globe

have also validated MelasQoL (7). Hindi version of MELASQOL can be used Indian patients<sup>(34)</sup>.

In Brazil, MelasQOL was recorded for 300 melasma patients. Among the responses that predominated, discomfort due to the spots was reported by 65% of patients, frustration by 55% whereas 57% were embarrassed about their skin<sup>(7)</sup>.

### **TREATMENT**:

#### Avoidance of exacerbating factors

This is very essential way to improve melasma. The patients should avoid exacerbating factors including oral contraceptive drugs like ethinyl estradiol, medroxy progesterone acetate, norethindrone, melasma-induced drugs like phenytoin and sun exposure<sup>(35)</sup>.

#### **Photoprotection**

Strict photoprotection like avoiding sun exposure, protective clothing, and broadspectrum sunscreens, is an essential for treating and preventing melasma. Broad spectrum sunscreens reduce melanocyte reactivation caused by sun exposure, therefore UV protection is necessary in addition to other forms of treatment<sup>(19)</sup>. Sunscreens are to be re-applied every 2-3 hourly and 1 teaspoon over face and neck each time.

In a Moroccan based study Lakhdar et al 85 pregnant women in their first trimester were taken, they applied sunscreen 2 hourly for 1 year. No darkening and even lightening of skin colour was seen in 79% women. New onset melasma developed in 5 women (2.7 percent), and the percentage of women who developed melasma decreased after application of SPF50 sunscreen every 2 hourly at day time<sup>(36)</sup>.

Shorter wavelengths of visible light (415 nm) cause more pigmentation than longer wavelengths (630 nm)<sup>(37)</sup>. Another study found that more darker pigmentation was induced by visible light (VL) than that by UVA-1. The group that used UV + VL sunscreen showed 28% greater improvement compared to the other group using only UV sunscreen<sup>(38)</sup>.

Sarkar et al studied the role of sunscreens alone in treatment of melasma and found that after 12 weeks of 3ml thrice daily application of broad spectrum sunscreen, MASI reduced from 12.38 to 9.15 (P < 0.05).

## INTERVENTIONS FOR MELASMA

Following are the interventions used for melasma.

Table 2: Classification of interventions for Melasma

|   | A) WELL ESTABLISHED                   |
|---|---------------------------------------|
|   | 1. Hydroquinone                       |
|   | 2. Retinoids                          |
| - | 3. Triple combination                 |
| - | 4 Koiic acid                          |
|   |                                       |
| = | 5. Azelaic acid                       |
|   | <b>B) RELATIVELY NEWER MODALITIES</b> |
|   | 1. Lignin peroxidase (LP)             |
|   | 2. Magnolignan                        |
|   |                                       |
|   | 3. NAG                                |
| ľ | 4. Orchid extracts                    |
| - |                                       |
|   | 5. Dioic acid                         |
| = | 6. Octadienedicic acid                |
| - | 7. Beta-Carotene                      |
| - | 8. Linoleic acid                      |
| Ē | 9. Silymarin                          |
|   | 10. 5% methimazole                    |
|   | 11. Pidobenzone                       |
| Ī | 12. Rucinol                           |
|   | 13. Licoroce extract                  |
| Ē | 14. Soymilk                           |
|   | 15. Mulberry extract                  |

|                       | 16. Aloesin                   |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
|                       | 17. Flavonoids                |
|                       | 18. Ellagic acid (topical)    |
|                       | 19. Liquirtin (topical)       |
| (ii)ORAL<br>TREATMENT | TRANEXEMIC ACID               |
|                       | A)CHEMICAL PEELS              |
|                       | 1. Glycolic acid              |
| (iii)PROCEDURAL       | 2. Trichloro acetic acid peel |
| IKEAIMENIS            | 3. Salicylic acid             |
|                       | 4. Jessner's peel             |
|                       | B) LASER                      |
|                       | 1. CO <sub>2</sub> Laser      |
|                       | 2. ND:YAG Laser               |
|                       | 3. Q switch Ruby Laser        |
|                       | C) MICRONEEDLING              |

#### 1) Hydroquinone

Hydroquinone is a hydroxyphenolic chemical inhibiting the conversion of DOPA to melanin by inhibiting tyrosinase. It also destroys melanosomes, but also causes necrosis of melanocytes by modifying the membrane structure<sup>(39)</sup>. It has been commonly used to treat melasma for decades. It is commonly used in 2-5% either alone or with other depigmenting agents. Ennes et al compared hydroquinone 4% cream with placebo in 48 patients. Group A was treated with hydroquinone 4% alone, Group B with placebo. Both groups applied sunscreen. The result showed 30% more improvement in group A > group B<sup>(40)</sup>.

In a controlled study by Arndt et al, 1965 (n = 56), both 2% and 5% HQ creams were found to be equally efficaceous, with 80% patients showing marked improvement<sup>(41)</sup>. Inflammatory reactions were less with 2% Hydroquinone<sup>(42)</sup>. Adverse effects of hydroquinone include irritation, erythema, stinging, irritant or allergic contact dermatitis, milia, PIH and exogenous ochronosis. It is a blue-black discolouration of skin due to inhibition of oxidase activity by HQ, which results in accumulation of homogentisic acid, which polymerizes to form ochronotic pigment in dermis<sup>(43)</sup>. Histologically exogenous ochronosis is seen as banana shaped bodies in the dermis. It is more commonly reported by dark skinned patients especially when hydroquinone is used for prolonged duration.

#### 2) <u>Retinoids</u>

Various topical retinoids are effective in melasma. Tretinoin increases epidermal turnover thus decreasing contact time between keratinocytes and melanocytes. It also decreases melanosome transfer, inhibits tyrosinase transcription, and interrupts synthesis of melanin. In a study by Griffifth et al. 19 patients each were treated with 0.1% tretinoin and with placebo, of which 68% patients with tretinoin had improvement and only 5% of placebo group showed improvement<sup>(44)</sup>. Side effects such as erythema and desquamation were noted in 88% of tretinoin group versus 29% of placebo group. Thus topical tretinoins are commonly used as combination therapy in order to reduce their side-effects.

#### 3) Combinations

#### a) Kligman's Formula

The Kligman–Willis formula is 5% hydroquinone, 0.1% tretinoin and 0.1% dexamethasone combination. It was developed 30 years ago to reduce pigmentation in melasma, ephelides, and post inflammatory hyperpigmentation. The benefits of this regimen are irritancy reduction, effect lengthening, HQ oxidation prevention and penetration improvement<sup>(42)</sup>.

#### b) <u>Triple combination cream</u>

Among the various triple Combination Cream (TCC), FDA approved contains 4% hydroquinone, 0.05% tretinoin, and 0.01% fluocinolone acetonide<sup>(5)</sup>. This triple combination cream was compared to HQ 4 percent and was found significantly better in a randomised trial on 260 Asian patients<sup>(45)</sup>. Cestari et al. assessed efficacy and safety of TCC, compared with topical hydroquinone in patients with moderate to severe facial melasma. For 8 weeks, subjects were randomly assigned to receive TCC applied once a day or HQ cream applied two times a day. Improvement of more than 75% of global severity score was present in 73% of TC cream patients and less than half of HQ cream patients. Erythema, a burning sensation, and desquamation were observed in both groups. For the treatment of moderate to severe facial melasma, TC is superior to HQ. The safety profiles of both drugs were comparable<sup>(46)</sup>.

#### 4) Kojic acid

Derived from fungus *Aspergillus orzyea*, it acts by inhibiting tyrosinase. It is used in concentrations of 2-4% to be applied daily over hyperpigmentation. In a study by Deo et al, kojic acid 1% alone, Kojic acid with 2% hydroquinone, Kojic acid with 0.1% betamethasone valerate and kojic acid with both hydroquinone 2% and Betamethasone was compared in 80 Indian patients of melasma. Highest efficacy was noted with kojic acid combined with hydroquinone<sup>(47)</sup>.

#### 5) <u>Azelaic acid</u>

Azelaic acid inhibits mitochondrial oxidoreducatase activity and thus decreasing hyperactive melanocytes' energy generation and DNA synthesis<sup>(48)</sup>. It also has antityrosinase activity. In study by Verallo et al. on 155 patients showed that Azelaic acid 20% was superior to Hydroquinone 2%<sup>(49)</sup>. Also in Study by Farshi et al. showed Azelaic acid being more efficacious than HQ 4%. However in study by Belinda et al showed HQ 4% to be more efficacious than Azelaic Acid 20%.

#### 6) <u>Arbutin</u>

Arbutin is a synthetic compound where one molecule of D-glucose is bound to hydroquinone. It has anti-melanogenic action by anti-tyrosinase activity Arbutin was compared to a placebo in trial by Morag et al on 54 melasma patients for 8 weeks and a statistically significant difference was seen.

## Table 3: Conventional topical drugs

| Study                    | Туре  | Number of patients  | Treatment given  | Results  | Adverse<br>effects (AE)                                   |
|--------------------------|---|---|--|--|---|
| Hydroquinone             |   |   |  |  |   |
| Arndt et al., 1965       | A split face study.   | 20  | Group 1: 5 HQ<br>Group 2: 2% HQ<br>X 12 weeks.   | Equal<br>improvement   | Irritant<br>reaction with<br>5%<br>hydroquinone.          |
| Ennes et al, 2000        | A double-blind,<br>comparative,<br>placebo-controlled<br>study              | 48  | Group 1 : 4%HQ<br>Group 2 Placebo<br>x 12 weeks  | 40% patients –<br>excellent<br>improvement                                     | -   |
| Retinoids                |   |   |  |  |   |
| Grififth et al.,<br>1993 | A randomized,<br>vehicle-controlled<br>study                                | 38  | Group 1 : 0.1%<br>tretinoin<br>Group 2 : Placebo<br>cream x 40 weeks   | 68% improvement<br>in Tretinoin group<br>versus 5% in<br>placebo group.        | Erythema and<br>desquamation<br>in 88% pts of<br>group 1. |
| Triple combination       | ns  |   |  |  |   |
| Taylor et al, 2003       | Single blinded,<br>randomised,<br>multicentre trial.                        | 641,<br>Fitzpatrick<br>types I to IV                          | TCC (tretinoin<br>0.05% + HQ 4% +<br>FA 0.01%), versus<br>DC (tretinoin+HQ,<br>tretinoin + FA and<br>HQ +FA) x 2<br>months | TC > DC<br>(significant) in all<br>the groups                                  | Mild AE seen<br>in all groups                             |
| Grimes et al, 2006       | An open labelled,<br>multicentre,<br>community based,<br>uncontrolled trial | 1290 (1042<br>completed)<br>Fitzpatrick skin<br>types I to VI | 0.05% tretinoin +<br>HQ 4.% + FA<br>0.01% x 2 months   | Significant<br>improvement in<br>MASI  |   |
| Cestari et al ,<br>2007  | An open labelled,<br>randomised trial.                                      | 120 patients  | HQ 4% versus<br>TCC (RA 0.05% +<br>4% HQ + FA<br>0.01%) x 2 months   | Total clearance<br>TCC (35%) > HQ<br>(5.1%)                                    | Mild AE<br>incidence<br>similar in both<br>group          |
| Chan et al 2008          | A double blinded,<br>randomised trial.                                      | 260 patients<br>Asian   | 4% HQ + 0.05%<br>RA+0.01% FA<br>versus 4% HQ x 2<br>months   | Significant<br>reduction in MASI<br>in TCC (64.2%)<br>group than HQ<br>(39.4%) |   |
| Gong et al, 2015         | A double blinded,<br>randomised trial.                                      | 233 patients  | 4% HQ +0.05%<br>RA+0.01% FA<br>versus placebo x 2<br>months  | TCC more<br>effective  |   |

| Kojic Acid  |  |                       |  |   |   |
|---|--|-----------------------|--|---|---|
| Monteiro et al.,<br>2011  | A double-blinded,<br>randomised<br>controlled trial.         | 60 Indian<br>patients | KA 0.75% + 2.5%<br>Vitamin vs<br>Hydroquinone 4%<br>x 3 months   | HQ cream superior<br>to KA                                      | KA - 6.7% AE<br>(erythema,<br>burning<br>sensation)<br>HQ 3.3% AE<br>(erythema) |
| Deo et al, 2013   | A single-blinded,<br>randomised<br>controlled trial.         | 80 Indian<br>patients | Comparison in 4<br>groups<br>Group A: KA 1%<br>Group B: KA 1% +<br>HQ 2%<br>Group C: KA 1% +<br>BV 0.1%<br>Group D: KA 1% +<br>HQ 2% + BV 0.1%<br>For 12 weeks | Efficacy of Group<br>B<br>highest B > D > A<br>> C              | NA  |
| Azelaic Acid  |  |                       |  |   |   |
| Verallo Rowell et<br>al., 1989  | A double-blinded,<br>randomised trial.                       | 155 patients          | 2% HQ versus 20%<br>AA x 6 months  | AA (73%) > HQ<br>(19%)  | Equal in both groups  |
| Balina et al, 1991  | A randomised clinical trial.                                 | 329 patients          | 4% HQ versus 20%<br>AA x 6 months  | Superior results<br>with HQ (73%) as<br>compared to AA<br>(65%) |   |
| Farsi et al,2011  | An open labelled,<br>randomised<br>controlled trial          | 29 patients           | AA 20% twice<br>daily versus 4%<br>HQ x 2 months   | AA significantly<br>better than HQ                              | AE with HQ ><br>AA  |
| Arbutin   |  |                       |  |   |   |
| Morag et al,  | A double-blind,<br>controlled<br>randomized control<br>trial | 54 patients           | Arbutin (Leaf<br>extract) versus<br>placebo<br>Duration: 8 weeks   | Statistically<br>significant<br>lightening                      | NA  |
| KEY: HQ – hydroquinone, TCC –triple combination, DC- double combination, FA- flucinolone acetonide, |  |                       |  |   |   |

KA- kojic acid, RA- retinoic acid, AA- azelaic acid, BV- Betamethasone valerate, MASI – Melasma area severity index.

### LESS WELL ESTABLISHED THERAPIES: (40)

There are a number of molecules that have been tried in treatment of melasma with variable results and the same have been summarized in table 4.

| Drug              | Mechanism                   | Study               | Treatment given.                                | Number of   | Result  | Side effect(s)              |  |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|---|-------------|---|-----------------------------|--|
|                   |                             |                     |   | patients    |   |                             |  |
| Lignin            | oxidizing and breaking      | Mauricio et al,     | LP versus 2% HQ cream                           | 51 patients | LP cream provided significant skin-lightening | Minimal adverse effects     |  |
| peroxidase (LP)   | down melarin                | 2011                |   |             | as compared HQ                                |                             |  |
|                   |                             | Draelos et al, 2015 | Group 1: LP versus HQ                           | 60 women    | Parity between LP and HQ, LP superior the     | ne Minimal adverse effects  |  |
|                   |                             |                     | Group 2 : LP versus placebo                     |             | placebo                                       |                             |  |
|                   |                             |                     |   |             | LP superior to HQ : better skin texture       |                             |  |
| Magnolignan       | Inhibits the maturation of  | Takeda et al, 2006  | 0.5% Magnolignan x 6 months                     | 51 female   | Significant improvement No side effects       |                             |  |
|                   | typosinase                  |                     |   |             |   |                             |  |
| NAG               | Inhibit conversion of       | Iraji et al, 2009   | 4% NAG + 2% nicotinamide vs 4% HQ on each       | 30 females  | Efficacy NAG + nicotinamide slightly more     | Side efforts of             |  |
|                   | protyrosinase to tyrosinase |                     | side of face x 12 weeks                         |             | than HQ Statistically insignificant.          | NAG+nicotinamide slightly   |  |
|                   |                             |                     |   |             |   | less than HQ                |  |
| Orchid extracts   | Antioxidant                 | Tadokoro et al,     | Plant extracts including orchid extracts vs 3%  | 48 Japanese | Significant improvement with plant extracts   | Minimal adverse effects     |  |
|                   |                             | 2010                | Vitamin C derivative                            | females     | (orchid extracts) formulation, parity with    |                             |  |
|                   |                             |                     |   |             | Vitamin C                                     |                             |  |
| Dioic acid        | Affects tyrosinase          | Tirado-Sanchez et   | 1% dioic acid versus 2% HQ x 12 weeks           | 96 female   | Significant reduction in pigmentation, parity | Lesser side effects than HO |  |
|                   | transcriptional and         | al, 2009            |   | patients    | with HQ                                       |                             |  |
|                   | melanosome transfer         |                     |   |             |   |                             |  |
| <b>B-Carotene</b> | Saturates melanocyte        | Kar, 2002           | B-carotene lotion on melasma                    | 31 adults   | Moderate benefit in melasma                   | Minimal advesse effects     |  |
|                   | receptors and reduce        |                     |   |             |   |                             |  |
|                   | melanin production          |                     |   |             |   |                             |  |
| Linoleic          | Accelerate tyrosinase       | Lee et al, 2002     | Group A- Placebo                                | 20 in each  | 2% mixed with 0.05% BV and 2% LA caused       | Minimal adverse effects     |  |
| acid(LA)          | degradation                 |                     | Goup B : 2% Lincomycin (LM) + 0.05%             | group       | significant improvement in melasma as         |                             |  |
|                   |                             |                     | Betamethasone Valerate (BV)                     |             | compared vehicle LM with BV                   |                             |  |
|                   |                             |                     | Group C: 2% LM , 0.05% (BV) and 2% LA once      |             |   |                             |  |
|                   |                             |                     | at night  |             |   |                             |  |
| Silymarin         | Inhibits melanogenesis      | Elfar et al, 2015   | IXA injection versus silymarin versus 50% peels | 60 female   | Topical silymarin – moderate improvement,     | Minimal adverse effects     |  |
|                   |                             |                     | Group A (intradermal TXA injection),            | patients    | comparable with peel, superior to intradernal |                             |  |

Table 4 : Less well established topical agents and topical agents and their clinical trials.

|                  |                            |                      | Group B (topical alymarin cream) and                  |                 | TXA   |                                |
|------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---|-----------------|---|--------------------------------|
|                  |                            |                      | Group C (GA peeling 50%)                              |                 |   |                                |
| 5%               | Peroxidase inhibitor       | Malek et al, 2013    | 5% sethimazole on melasma x 8 weeks                   | 3 HQ-resistant  | Significant improvement of melasma              | Minimal adverse effects        |
| methemazole      |                            |                      |   | melasma         |   |                                |
|                  |                            |                      |   | patients        |   |                                |
| Pidobenzone      |                            | Zanieri- et al, 2008 | pédobenzone gel 4% twice daily x 16 weeks.            | 20 patients     | Significant benefit in melasma                  | Minimal advesse effects        |
| Rucinol          | Inhibition of tyrosinase   | Khemis et al,        | 0.3% rucinol versus placebo x 12 weeks                | 32 patients     | Lower pigmentation score the rucinol treated    | Mild stinging, burning,        |
|                  | and TRP-1                  |                      |   |                 | side benefits were maintained for amother       | erythema, peeling, dayness,    |
|                  |                            |                      |   |                 | weeks   | deesquamation                  |
|                  |                            | Huh et al., 2010     | 0.1% liposome-encapsulated rucinol versus vehicle     | 23 patients     | Significantly lower pigmentation scotes with    | No adverse effects reported    |
|                  | m ' ' 1 '' ' ' 1           |                      | x 8 weeks   |                 | the liposome encapsulated rucinol               | <b>D</b>                       |
| Licorice extract | Tyrosinase inhibition and  | Costa et at          | Emblica, licorice, and belides 7% versus 2% HQ        | 56 patients     | Moderate improvement in melasma.                | Burning sensation and          |
|                  | anti-inflammatory          |                      | Divided into 2 groups of 28 patients: Group A -       |                 |   | increase of number of previous |
|                  |                            |                      | traine  |                 |   | less ther UO                   |
|                  |                            |                      | Group B HO 2% at night x 8 weeks                      |                 |   | less than HQ                   |
| Sovmilk          | Restoratio of skin barrier | Mao-Oiang et al      | double-blind study of a soy-containing                | in 68 patients  | significant improvements in fine wrinkles and   | Minimal advesse effects        |
| Soymik           | inhibit melanosome         | Mao-Qiang et ai      | moisturizer with a broad-spectrum subscreen           | in oo patients, | nigmentary changes were demonstrated after 3    | winning advesse effects        |
|                  | nhagocytosis by            |                      | moistunzer with a broad speet and subscreen           |                 | months of usage                                 |                                |
|                  | keratinocytes via          |                      |   |                 | monuls of usuge                                 |                                |
|                  | inhibition of PAR-2        |                      |   |                 |   |                                |
| Mulberry         | Tyrosinase inhibitor, Free | Sarkar et al, 2013   | Plant etract compared to topical 3% vitamin C         | 50 patients     | markedly improved the Melasma Area and          | Minimal adverse effects        |
| extract          | radical scavenger          |                      | derivative in Japanese female patients with           | -               | Severity Index (MASI) score in an RCT in        |                                |
|                  |                            |                      | melasma.  |                 |   |                                |
| Aloesin          | competitively inhibits the | Choi et al,          | randomized comparative                                |                 | Aloesin suppressed pigmentation by 34%,         |                                |
|                  | conversion of tyrosine to  |                      | subjects were UV irradiated (210 mJ) on volar         |                 | arbutin by 43.5%, and the co-treatment by       |                                |
|                  | DOPA and DOPA to           |                      | forearm and were divided into 4 groups: vehicle       |                 | 63.3%, compared with the control (N = 15; P $<$ |                                |
|                  | dopachrome.                |                      | control, aloesin-treated, arbutin-treated and aloesin |                 | 0.05)   |                                |
|                  |                            |                      | and arbutin-treated. Aloesin and/or arbutin were      |                 |   |                                |
|                  |                            |                      | administered 4 times a day for 15 days.               |                 |   |                                |
| Flavonoids       | anti-inflammatory and      | Altaei et al, 2012   | 3 groups  | 96 patients     | excellent improvement and lesion size           | No adverse effects             |
|                  | antioxidant properties and |                      | treatment was applied twice daily x 4 weeks.          |                 | reduction                                       |                                |
|                  | are competitive inhibitors |                      |   |                 |   |                                |
| Elle -t 11       | of tyrosinase              | Enterne et el        | Constrationalization and a subsetion and at 1 1 1     | 10              | Dethe second immediate to the the               |                                |
| Liagic acid      | malanoouto proliforation   | Ertam et al,         | Synthetic ellagic acid + arbutin vs plant derived     | 10 patients     | afficiency                                      |                                |
| (topical)        | dispersion of melanin      | Amer et al. 2000     | liquiritin cream on one side of face and with         | 20 females      | 75% patients has avcellent response             | Minimal mild irritation        |
| (topical)        | dispersion of metallin.    | Aller et al, 2000    | value aream on other side twice daily y 4 works       | 20 remaies      | 7.570 patients has excellent response.          | winninai – ninu irritation     |
| (wpicui)         |                            |                      | venicle creatil off other side twice daily x 4 weeks. |                 |   |                                |

## Level of evidence

Treatment of melasma has variable level of evidence and strength of recommendations, which has been shown in the following table  $7^{(40)}$ .

Table 5: Level of evidence

| Level of Evidence |                            |  |  |  |
|-------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Ι                 | One large randomized       |  |  |  |
|                   | controlled trial (RCT)     |  |  |  |
| Π                 | Small RCTs                 |  |  |  |
| III               | Prospective cohort studies |  |  |  |
| IV                | Retrospective cohort       |  |  |  |
|                   | studies or case-control    |  |  |  |
|                   | studies                    |  |  |  |
| V                 | Case reports, experts'     |  |  |  |
|                   | opinion                    |  |  |  |

Table 6 : Strength of recommendation

| S | Strength of recommendation |  |  |
|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| А | Strong evidence, strongly  |  |  |
|   | recommended                |  |  |
| В | Strong or moderate         |  |  |
|   | evidence, generally        |  |  |
|   | recommended                |  |  |
| С | Insufficient evidence      |  |  |
| D | Moderate evidence against  |  |  |
|   | efficacy, generally not    |  |  |
|   | recommended                |  |  |
| E | Strong evidence against    |  |  |
|   | efficacy, never            |  |  |
|   | recommended                |  |  |

Table 7: Melasma drugs level and strength of recommendation.

| Drug                                | Level of | Strength of    |
|-------------------------------------|----------|----------------|
| _                                   | evidence | recommendation |
| 2% HQ                               | II       | С              |
| 4% HQ                               | Ι        | В              |
| 2% HA, 0.05% RA, 0.1% dexamethasone | III      | В              |
| 4% HQ, 0.05% RA, 0.1% FA            | Ι        | А              |
| Hydro-oryanisol                     | II-III   | С              |
| Lignin peroxidase                   | Ι        | В              |
| Magnolignan                         | II-II    | С              |
| NAG                                 | Ι        | В              |
| Orchid extracts                     | II-II    | С              |
| Dioic acid                          | II-II    | С              |
| Octadienedicic acid                 | II-II    | С              |
| B-Carotene                          | II-II    | С              |
| Linoleic acid                       | Ι        | В              |
| Silymarin                           | II-II    | С              |
| 5% methemazole                      | III      | С              |
| Pidobenzone                         | II-III   | С              |
| Rucinol                             | Ι        | В              |
| Licorice extract                    | Ι        | В              |
## Oral drug - Tranexamic acid (TXA)

Tranexamic acid is an anti-plasmin drug, reduces arachidonic acid production, resulting in a decrease in melanocyte-stimulating hormone (MSH) and thereby reduces pigment production. It is used in 500–1500 mg/day in a divided dose. While no direct comparisons of tranexamic acid formulations have been conducted, current studies reveal that over 90% of those treated orally improved after 2–6 months, compared to approximately 95% of patients treated with topical 2% preparations who improved after 3 months. However, screening for thrombosis risk factors is required before beginning treatment because it can lead to deep vein thrombosis.. Patients on oral contraceptive pills and those having deranged coagulation profile should not be given oral tranexamic acid. Other minor side effects include – abdominal bloating, nausea and menstrual irregularity.

In a 3-month research by Rosario et al. comparing the efficacy of TXA 250 mg twice daily to placebo on 39 patients showed that the MASI score was reduced by 49 percent in TXA group after three months, compared to 18 percent in the placebo group.

| Study          | Туре         | No. of   | Treatment        | Results       | Adverse effects      |
|----------------|--------------|----------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|
|                |              | patients | given            |               |                      |
| Karn et al,    | А            | 260      | TXA 250 mg       | Significant   | Group A:             |
| 2012           | randomised   |          | + HQ cream       | decrease of   | oligomenorrhea,      |
|                | controlled   |          |                  | the MASI      | belching,            |
|                | trial.       |          | Placebo + HQ     | scores in     | abdominal cramps,    |
|                |              |          | cream            | group A at    | palpitation,         |
|                |              |          |                  | weeks 8 and   | urticarial rash with |
|                |              |          | twice daily, for | 12 and in     | angioedema.          |
|                |              |          | 12 weeks         | group B at    | Group B:             |
|                |              |          |                  | week 8.       | exogenous            |
|                |              |          |                  |               | ochronosis.          |
| <b>D</b> 111 1 |              | 4.0      | 1                | <u>a:</u> : a |                      |
| Padhi et al,   | An open      | 40       | oral TXA 250     | Significant   | Erythema and         |
| 2015           | labeled      |          | mg + TC          | decrease in   | burning sensation    |
|                | randomized   |          | Cream            | mean MASI     | in both groups,      |
|                | comparative  |          | Dlaasho - TC     | score in      | nypopigmentation     |
|                | trial.       |          | Placebo + IC     | group A       | and                  |
|                |              |          | clean            | compared to   |                      |
|                |              |          | TXA 250 mg       | D.            | in group A.          |
|                |              |          | twice daily      |               |                      |
|                |              |          | TC cream         |               |                      |
|                |              |          | daily, for 12    |               |                      |
|                |              |          | weeks            |               |                      |
| Khurana et     | А            | 64       | Group A -        | Significant   | Group A - mild       |
| al, 2019.      | prospective, |          | microneedling    | decrease in   | pain and erythema.   |
|                | randomized,  |          | + TXA 0.4%       | MASI score    | Group B: gastritis,  |
|                | open-label   |          |                  | in Group B >  | oligomenorrhea.      |
|                | study        |          | Group B - oral   | A             | -                    |
|                |              |          | TXA 250 mg       |               |                      |
|                |              |          |                  |               |                      |
|                |              |          | A: monthly, B:   |               |                      |
|                |              |          | twice daily, for |               |                      |
|                |              |          | 3 months         |               |                      |

Table 8: Table showing studies using tranexamic acid

# Procedural therapies<sup>(46)</sup>

## 1) Lasers

A variety of lasers and light devices have been tried in melasma.

- a) Pigmentary lasers Q-switched ruby laser (QSRL) and Q-switched neodymium:yttrium-aluminium-garnet (QNd:YAG) lasers, Intense pulsed light (IPL)
- b) Vascular lasers pulsed dye, Copper bromide
- c) Ablative lasers carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) and erbium:YAG (Er:YAG)
  - Neodynium: Yttrium Laser (Nd: YAG):

The chromophore melanin is selectively targeted by non-ablative Qswitched lasers, such as the Q-switched ruby laser (QSRL) and Qswitched neodymium:yttrium–aluminium–garnet (QNd:YAG) lasers. Long-term benefits have not been provided by QSRL nor QNd:YAG. Low fluence QNd:YAG laser is the most commonly used laser in melasma trials. Study by Moubasher *et al.* showed that NDYAG laser is inferior as compared to TCA 25% peel.

• Alexandrite laser:

Alexandrite lasers, being more specific causes less post inflammatory hyperpigmentation than Q switched Nd:YAG lasers. Fabi et al. found that the LFQS Nd:YAG laser performed better than the Low Fluence Q Switched alexandrite laser, but the results were statistically insignificant.

• Copper bromide:

In Thailand, a nonrandomized trial on copper bromide laser found no significant improvement among 24 patients. Copper bromide laser was not found better than Triple Combination in a split face Randomised control trial of 20 patients.

• Combinations

Lasers have been combined with Triple combinations, tranexamic acid, peels and also with two different lasers have been combined. Efficacy of combination therapy is better than monotherapy.

2) <u>Chemical peels</u>

Chemical peels commonly used in melasma include glycolic acid, salicylic acid, Jessner's peel, and trichloroacetic acid. The peeling agent has superficial effects, allowing for the elimination of epidermal melanin as well as melanin from keratinocytes, as well as preventing melanosome transfer to keratinocytes. Peels are done every 2 to 4 weekly intervals for 5-6 sessions.

<u>a)</u> <u>Glycolic Acid</u>:

It is used in concentration ranging from 20 to 70%. In Trial of Dayal et al, glycolic Acid peel with Azelaic acid was compared with Azelaic acid alone. It showed glycolic acid with azelaic acid showed better results than azelaic acid alone. One of these research evaluated the efficacy of a GA (20–70%) peel to a triple Combination (Hydroquinone 2 %, RA 0.05 %, Flucinolone 0.01 %). GA peel (cwith azelaic acid cream) and triple combination showed similar efficacy in terms of MASI improvement.

b) Trichloroacetic acid (TCA) peel

Trichloroacetic acid is used in concentration of 10-20%.TCA peel was compared to GA peel by Puri et al. and concluded that both had similar efficacy.

<u>c)</u> Jessners solution

Ejaz *et al.* compared Jessner's solution and 30% salicylic acid and found that both the peels have similar efficacy in reducing melasma.

3) Microneedling

Most of the study shows that microneedling is not effective in melasma<sup>(50)</sup>.

| Study                     |  | No. of         | Treatment  | Results  | SIDE EFFECTS   |
|---------------------------|--|----------------|--|--|--|
|                           |  | patients       | given  |  |  |
|                           |  | L              | asers in Melasma   |  |  |
| Moubasher<br>et al., 2014 | A randomized,<br>controlled<br>clinical trial. | 65<br>patients | Group A: TCA<br>20% Group B:<br>TCA 25%<br>Group C: TCA<br>30% every 2<br>weeks up to 8<br>sessions<br>Group D: QS-<br>Nd: YAG 532<br>nm every month<br>up to 6 sessions | TCA group B<br>showed<br>significantly<br>greater<br>reduction in<br>MASI  | Q-switched Nd:<br>YAG 532 nm<br>showed higher<br>incidence of<br>postinflammatory<br>hyperpigmentation |
| Fabi <i>et al.</i> , 2014 | A randomized,<br>split-face<br>clinical trial  | 20<br>patients | Group A :<br>LFQS Nd:<br>YAG laser<br>Group B: LFQS<br>alexandrite<br>laser (755 nm)   | Improvement<br>in mMASI-<br>Group A -<br>27% Group B –<br>19%<br>statistically<br>insignificant  | No significant<br>adverse effects  |
| Yun et al                 | Split-face,                                    | 26,            | Group 1-   | In group A, the  | Marked darkening of  |
| 2015                      | randomized<br>study                            | Asian          | Fractionated<br>IPL weekly x 6<br>sessions,<br>Conventional<br>IPL biweekly, 3<br>sessions   | modified<br>MASI score<br>decreased<br>continuously,<br>while in group<br>B the MASI<br>score initially<br>decreased then<br>increased<br>during the<br>treatment<br>course. | melasma in one<br>patient in group B<br>after the third<br>treatment.                                  |

## Table 9: Procedural therapies in melasma

| Shakeeb et | A randomised    | 96 | Group A :         | Significantly   | N/A               |
|------------|-----------------|----|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| al         | controlled      |    | Triple            | better MASI     |                   |
|            | trial.          |    | combination       | score reduction |                   |
| 2018       |                 |    | cream             | in group C than |                   |
|            |                 |    |                   | A or B.         |                   |
|            |                 |    | Group B : IPL     |                 |                   |
|            |                 |    |                   |                 |                   |
|            |                 |    | Group C : IPL     |                 |                   |
|            |                 |    | + triple          |                 |                   |
|            |                 |    | combination       |                 |                   |
|            |                 |    | cream             |                 |                   |
|            |                 |    | cream nightly     |                 |                   |
|            |                 |    | IPL biweekly.     |                 |                   |
|            |                 |    | for 2 months      |                 |                   |
|            |                 |    |                   |                 |                   |
|            |                 |    |                   |                 |                   |
|            |                 | I  | Peels in Melasma  |                 |                   |
|            |                 | 0  | lycolic Acid Peel |                 |                   |
| Mahajan et | A Randomized    | 40 | Group A: GA       | No significant  |                   |
| al, 2011   | Controlled      |    | (sequential) and  | Difference      |                   |
|            | Study           |    | AA 20%            | between the     |                   |
|            |                 |    | Group B · TC      | two groups      |                   |
|            |                 |    | (HQ2%, tret       |                 |                   |
|            |                 |    | 0.05%, fluocino   |                 |                   |
|            |                 |    | 0.0170)           |                 |                   |
|            |                 |    |                   |                 |                   |
| Dayal et.  | An open-label,  | 60 | Group A :GA       | Significant     | Erythema, burning |
| Al,2017    | prospective,    |    | peel + 20% AA     | decrease in     | sensation in both |
|            | randomized      |    | cream every 3     | MASI and        | groups.           |
|            | interindividual |    | weeks, 8          | MelasQoL        |                   |
|            | clinical trial. |    | peeling sessions  | scores after 12 |                   |
|            |                 |    |                   | weeks. Group    |                   |
|            |                 |    | Group B :20%      | A > B           |                   |
|            |                 |    | AA cream twice    |                 |                   |
|            |                 |    | daily, for 24     |                 |                   |
|            |                 |    | weeks             |                 |                   |
|            |                 |    |                   |                 |                   |

| Garg et al  | A Randomized  | 30,                           | 35% GA full-  | Significant   | Erythema, pruritus,   |
|---|---|-------------------------------|---|---|---|
| 2019  | Controlled  | Indians                       | face peel   | reduction of  | burning sensation,  |
|   | trial.  |                               |   | MASI scores in  | transient   |
|   |   |                               | 35% GA full-  | all groups.   | hyperpigmentation.  |
|   |   |                               | face peel   |   |   |
|   |   |                               | followed by   |   |   |
|   |   |                               | 10% TCA spot  |   |   |
|   |   |                               | peel  |   |   |
|   |   |                               |   |   |   |
|   |   |                               |   |   |   |
|   |   |                               | 35% GA full-  |   |   |
|   |   |                               | face peel   |   |   |
|   |   |                               | followed by   |   |   |
|   |   |                               | 20% TCA spot  |   |   |
|   |   |                               | peel  |   |   |
|   |   |                               | every 2 weeks   |   |   |
|   |   |                               | A sessions  |   |   |
|   |   |                               | 4 303310113   |   |   |
|   |   |                               |   |   |   |
|   |   |                               | -   |   |   |
| -   | I   | Tricl                         | hloroacetic Acid P  | eel   |   |
| Puri et al  | A non   | Tricl                         | hloroacetic Acid P  | eel<br>Both TCA and   |   |
| Puri et al.,<br>2012                                    | A non<br>randomised   | Tricl<br>30<br>patients       | hloroacetic Acid P<br>Gr A: 15%<br>TCA  | eel<br>Both TCA and<br>GA were  |   |
| Puri et al.,<br>2012                                    | A non<br>randomised<br>clinical trial   | Tricl<br>30<br>patients       | hloroacetic Acid P<br>Gr A: 15%<br>TCA<br>Gr B: 35% GA<br>peel (every 3   | eel<br>Both TCA and<br>GA were<br>equally<br>effective  |   |
| Puri et al.,<br>2012                                    | A non<br>randomised<br>clinical trial   | Tricl<br>30<br>patients       | hloroacetic Acid P<br>Gr A: 15%<br>TCA<br>Gr B: 35% GA<br>peel (every 3<br>weeks)   | eel<br>Both TCA and<br>GA were<br>equally<br>effective  |   |
| Puri et al.,<br>2012                                    | A non<br>randomised<br>clinical trial   | Tricl<br>30<br>patients       | hloroacetic Acid P<br>Gr A: 15%<br>TCA<br>Gr B: 35% GA<br>peel (every 3<br>weeks)<br>Duration: 15<br>weeks  | eel<br>Both TCA and<br>GA were<br>equally<br>effective  |   |
| Puri et al.,<br>2012                                    | A non<br>randomised<br>clinical trial   | Tricl<br>30<br>patients       | hloroacetic Acid P<br>Gr A: 15%<br>TCA<br>Gr B: 35% GA<br>peel (every 3<br>weeks)<br>Duration: 15<br>weeks  | eel<br>Both TCA and<br>GA were<br>equally<br>effective  |   |
| Puri et al.,<br>2012                                    | A non<br>randomised<br>clinical trial   | Tricl<br>30<br>patients       | hloroacetic Acid P<br>Gr A: 15%<br>TCA<br>Gr B: 35% GA<br>peel (every 3<br>weeks)<br>Duration: 15<br>weeks  | eel<br>Both TCA and<br>GA were<br>equally<br>effective  |   |
| Puri et al.,<br>2012<br>Abdel-                          | A non<br>randomised<br>clinical trial<br>A single-  | Tricl<br>30<br>patients<br>24 | hloroacetic Acid P<br>Gr A: 15%<br>TCA<br>Gr B: 35% GA<br>peel (every 3<br>weeks)<br>Duration: 15<br>weeks<br>Group A: TCA  | eel<br>Both TCA and<br>GA were<br>equally<br>effective<br>Significant   | Erythema, burning   |
| Puri et al.,<br>2012<br>Abdel-<br>Meguid et             | A non<br>randomised<br>clinical trial<br>A single-<br>blinded split   | Tricl<br>30<br>patients<br>24 | hloroacetic Acid P<br>Gr A: 15%<br>TCA<br>Gr B: 35% GA<br>peel (every 3<br>weeks)<br>Duration: 15<br>weeks<br>Group A: TCA<br>20%– 25% +  | eel<br>Both TCA and<br>GA were<br>equally<br>effective<br>Significant<br>decrease in  | Erythema, burning sensation,  |
| Puri et al.,<br>2012<br>Abdel-<br>Meguid et<br>al, 2017 | A non<br>randomised<br>clinical trial<br>A single-<br>blinded split<br>face                                       | Tricl<br>30<br>patients       | hloroacetic Acid P<br>Gr A: 15%<br>TCA<br>Gr B: 35% GA<br>peel (every 3<br>weeks)<br>Duration: 15<br>weeks<br>Group A: TCA<br>20%– 25% +<br>Jessner's   | eel<br>Both TCA and<br>GA were<br>equally<br>effective<br>Significant<br>decrease in<br>MASI score in   | Erythema, burning<br>sensation,<br>discomfort, pruritus,  |
| Puri et al.,<br>2012<br>Abdel-<br>Meguid et<br>al, 2017 | A non<br>randomised<br>clinical trial<br>A single-<br>blinded split<br>face<br>randomised                         | Tricl<br>30<br>patients       | hloroacetic Acid P<br>Gr A: 15%<br>TCA<br>Gr B: 35% GA<br>peel (every 3<br>weeks)<br>Duration: 15<br>weeks<br>Group A: TCA<br>20%– 25% +<br>Jessner's<br>solution   | eel<br>Both TCA and<br>GA were<br>equally<br>effective<br>Significant<br>decrease in<br>MASI score in<br>both groups,   | Erythema, burning<br>sensation,<br>discomfort, pruritus,<br>hyperpigmentation,                                  |
| Puri et al.,<br>2012<br>Abdel-<br>Meguid et<br>al, 2017 | A non<br>randomised<br>clinical trial<br>A single-<br>blinded split<br>face<br>randomised<br>controlled           | Tricl<br>30<br>patients<br>24 | hloroacetic Acid P<br>Gr A: 15%<br>TCA<br>Gr B: 35% GA<br>peel (every 3<br>weeks)<br>Duration: 15<br>weeks<br>Group A: TCA<br>20%– 25% +<br>Jessner's<br>solution   | eel<br>Both TCA and<br>GA were<br>equally<br>effective<br>Significant<br>decrease in<br>MASI score in<br>both groups,<br>with   | Erythema, burning<br>sensation,<br>discomfort, pruritus,<br>hyperpigmentation,<br>crustation in both            |
| Puri et al.,<br>2012<br>Abdel-<br>Meguid et<br>al, 2017 | A non<br>randomised<br>clinical trial<br>A single-<br>blinded split<br>face<br>randomised<br>controlled<br>trial. | Tricl<br>30<br>patients       | hloroacetic Acid P<br>Gr A: 15%<br>TCA<br>Gr B: 35% GA<br>peel (every 3<br>weeks)<br>Duration: 15<br>weeks<br>Group A: TCA<br>20%– 25% +<br>Jessner's<br>solution<br>Group B : TCA  | eel<br>Both TCA and<br>GA were<br>equally<br>effective<br>Significant<br>decrease in<br>MASI score in<br>both groups,<br>with<br>significantly                                  | Erythema, burning<br>sensation,<br>discomfort, pruritus,<br>hyperpigmentation,<br>crustation in both<br>groups. |
| Puri et al.,<br>2012<br>Abdel-<br>Meguid et<br>al, 2017 | A non<br>randomised<br>clinical trial<br>A single-<br>blinded split<br>face<br>randomised<br>controlled<br>trial. | Tricl<br>30<br>patients<br>24 | hloroacetic Acid P<br>Gr A: 15%<br>TCA<br>Gr B: 35% GA<br>peel (every 3<br>weeks)<br>Duration: 15<br>weeks<br>Group A: TCA<br>20%– 25% +<br>Jessner's<br>solution<br>Group B : TCA<br>20%– 25%                            | eel<br>Both TCA and<br>GA were<br>equally<br>effective<br>Significant<br>decrease in<br>MASI score in<br>both groups,<br>with<br>significantly<br>better results in             | Erythema, burning<br>sensation,<br>discomfort, pruritus,<br>hyperpigmentation,<br>crustation in both<br>groups. |
| Puri et al.,<br>2012<br>Abdel-<br>Meguid et<br>al, 2017 | A non<br>randomised<br>clinical trial<br>A single-<br>blinded split<br>face<br>randomised<br>controlled<br>trial. | Trick<br>30<br>patients<br>24 | hloroacetic Acid P<br>Gr A: 15%<br>TCA<br>Gr B: 35% GA<br>peel (every 3<br>weeks)<br>Duration: 15<br>weeks<br>Group A: TCA<br>20%– 25% +<br>Jessner's<br>solution<br>Group B : TCA<br>20%– 25%<br>Biweekly 6              | eel<br>Both TCA and<br>GA were<br>equally<br>effective<br>Significant<br>decrease in<br>MASI score in<br>both groups,<br>with<br>significantly<br>better results in<br>group A. | Erythema, burning<br>sensation,<br>discomfort, pruritus,<br>hyperpigmentation,<br>crustation in both<br>groups. |
| Puri et al.,<br>2012<br>Abdel-<br>Meguid et<br>al, 2017 | A non<br>randomised<br>clinical trial<br>A single-<br>blinded split<br>face<br>randomised<br>controlled<br>trial. | Tricl<br>30<br>patients<br>24 | hloroacetic Acid P<br>Gr A: 15%<br>TCA<br>Gr B: 35% GA<br>peel (every 3<br>weeks)<br>Duration: 15<br>weeks<br>Group A: TCA<br>20%– 25% +<br>Jessner's<br>solution<br>Group B : TCA<br>20%– 25%<br>Biweekly, 6             | eel<br>Both TCA and<br>GA were<br>equally<br>effective<br>Significant<br>decrease in<br>MASI score in<br>both groups,<br>with<br>significantly<br>better results in<br>group A. | Erythema, burning<br>sensation,<br>discomfort, pruritus,<br>hyperpigmentation,<br>crustation in both<br>groups. |
| Puri et al.,<br>2012<br>Abdel-<br>Meguid et<br>al, 2017 | A non<br>randomised<br>clinical trial<br>A single-<br>blinded split<br>face<br>randomised<br>controlled<br>trial. | Tricl<br>30<br>patients<br>24 | hloroacetic Acid P<br>Gr A: 15%<br>TCA<br>Gr B: 35% GA<br>peel (every 3<br>weeks)<br>Duration: 15<br>weeks<br>Group A: TCA<br>20%– 25% +<br>Jessner's<br>solution<br>Group B : TCA<br>20%– 25%<br>Biweekly, 6<br>sessions | eel<br>Both TCA and<br>GA were<br>equally<br>effective<br>Significant<br>decrease in<br>MASI score in<br>both groups,<br>with<br>significantly<br>better results in<br>group A. | Erythema, burning<br>sensation,<br>discomfort, pruritus,<br>hyperpigmentation,<br>crustation in both<br>groups. |
| Puri et al.,<br>2012<br>Abdel-<br>Meguid et<br>al, 2017 | A non<br>randomised<br>clinical trial<br>A single-<br>blinded split<br>face<br>randomised<br>controlled<br>trial. | Tricl<br>30<br>patients<br>24 | hloroacetic Acid P<br>Gr A: 15%<br>TCA<br>Gr B: 35% GA<br>peel (every 3<br>weeks)<br>Duration: 15<br>weeks<br>Group A: TCA<br>20%– 25% +<br>Jessner's<br>solution<br>Group B : TCA<br>20%– 25%<br>Biweekly, 6<br>sessions | eel<br>Both TCA and<br>GA were<br>equally<br>effective<br>Significant<br>decrease in<br>MASI score in<br>both groups,<br>with<br>significantly<br>better results in<br>group A. | Erythema, burning<br>sensation,<br>discomfort, pruritus,<br>hyperpigmentation,<br>crustation in both<br>groups. |

| al, 2017                        | labelled   | Indians        | + 5% ascorbic  | MelasQoL,   | erythema, burning                                     |
|---------------------------------|--|----------------|--|---|---|
|                                 | prospective  |                | acid cream   |   | and stinging  |
|                                 | randomized   |                |  | Percentage  |   |
|                                 | study  |                | 20% TCA peel   | decrease in   | sensation,  |
|                                 | <i>.</i>   |                |  | MASI, in  | inflammatory  |
|                                 |  |                | Peels -every   | group A >   | hyperpigmentation                                     |
|                                 |  |                | two weeks,   | group B.  | in both groups.                                       |
|                                 |  |                | cream nightly,   |   | Pruritus in group B.                                  |
|                                 |  |                | for 12 weeks   |   |   |
|                                 |  |                |  |   |   |
| Ejaz et                         | A double   | 60             | Jessner's  | Both groups   |   |
| <i>u</i> 1.,2008                | blind,   |                | weeks versus   | show efficacy,  |   |
|                                 | randomized,  |                | 30% salicylic  | difference  |   |
|                                 | interventional                                       |                | 2 weeks  | statistically   |   |
|                                 | comparative  |                |  | insignificant.  |   |
|                                 | study.   |                |  |   |   |
|                                 |  |                | Microneedling  |   |   |
| Balevi et al,<br>2017           | A comparative<br>study                               | 41             | GROUP A : 30<br>% SA peel<br>GROUP B : SA<br>30% with<br>microneedling<br>with vitamic C.<br>Every 2 weeks<br>for 2 months   | No significant<br>difference<br>between two<br>groups   | Burning<br>sensation in Group B<br>during injections. |
|                                 |  |                |  |   |   |
| Kaleem et<br>al, 2020           | A split-face<br>non-<br>randomized<br>clinical trial | 50<br>patients | Group A :<br>microneedling<br>with TXA 0.4%<br>on the left side<br>of the face<br>Group B :<br>microneedling<br>with saline<br>0.9% on the<br>right side of the<br>face<br>Every 2 weeks<br>for 12 weeks | Hemi-mMASI<br>score showed<br>significant<br>reduction in<br>group A<br>compared to<br>group B. | Erythema, swelling,<br>and burning on both<br>cheeks. |
| Key : TCA - T                   | richloroacetic acid,                                 | LF QS Nd: `    | IOT 12 Weeks<br>YAG – Low fluence  | Q switched Neodym   | ium:yttrium–aluminium-                                |
| garnet laser, II<br>MASI – Mela | PL- Intense Pulse L                                  | ight laser, G  | A – glycolic acid, A   | A – Azelaic acid, T   | TXA – Tranexamic acid,                                |
| Melasma quali                   | ty of life index.                                    |                | 1.51 – mounteu Mel   | asina Area Severily   | muer, MILLASQUE -                                     |

#### Platelet-Rich Plasma (PRP)

PRP is a plasma containing higher-than-normal platelet concentrations. It is prepared from small amount of patients own blood. PRP is used in oral and maxillofacial surgery, orthopedics and sports medicine, plastic surgery and cosmetic surgery for over 30 years. In dermatology it is used in androgenic alopecia, for faster wound healing, atrophic scar and striae, for skin rejuvenation and lately for hyperpigmented lesions<sup>(51–54)</sup>.

Platelets are formed in marrow from megakaryocytes. They contain  $\alpha$ -granules, dense granules, lysosomes and mitochondria <sup>(54)</sup>.

Contents of  $\alpha$ -granules are Insulin-like Growth Factor-1(IGF-1), Platelet derived growth factors (PDGF), Transforming Growth Factor- Beta (TGF $\beta$ ), platelet factor 4 and clotting proteins (such as thrombospondin, fibronectin, factor V, and von Willebrand factor). Dense granules contain adenosine diphosphate (ADP), adenosine triphosphate (ATP), ionized calcium, histamine and serotonin.

Platelet-Rich Plasma when comes in contact with collagen, granules fuse to cell membrane to release growth factors such as PDGF, EGF, TGF-beta. These secretory proteins get active and upon activation they bind to transmembrane receptors affecting many cell types. This leads to gene expression controlling - cellular proliferation, collagen synthesis and tissue regeneration<sup>(55)</sup>.

These growth factors are secreted by platelets within 10 min after activation and hence PRP should be used within 1  $hr^{(4)}$ .

It is prepared by centrifugation of whole blood. More than 1 million/  $\mu$ L platelet concentration is believed to be enough therapeutic effect <sup>(55)</sup>.

## Table 10: Classification of PRP<sup>(55)</sup>

|                             | Pure Platelet- Rich<br>Plasma (P-PRP) | Leucocyte<br>PRP (L-PRP) | Pure Platelet-<br>Rich Fibrin | Leucocyte Platelet-<br>Rich Fibrin |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|
|                             |                                       |                          | (P-PRF)                       | (L-PRF)                            |
| With WBC                    | -                                     | $\checkmark$             | -                             | $\checkmark$                       |
| High density fibrin network | -                                     | -                        | $\checkmark$                  | $\checkmark$                       |

- a) <u>Pure Platelet-Rich Plasma (P-PRP) or leucocyte-poor PRP products</u>: They are PRP without WBC and fibrin network. This type of PRP helps wound repair.
- b) <u>Leucocyte- and PRP (L-PRP) products</u>: They are PRP with WBC and without fibrin network.
- c) <u>Pure platelet-rich fibrin (P-PRF)</u>: It is also known as leucocyte-poor platelet-rich fibrin PRP. It is PRP without WBC and with a high-density fibrin network. It is formed only in gel form.
- d) <u>Leucocyte- and platelet-rich fibrin(L-PRF) or second-generation PRP products:</u> <u>They</u> are PRP with WBC and fibrin network.

In this study, we have used P-PRP, free of WBC and fibrin, as WBC can promote inflammation in melasma, and fibrin has no role in melasma treatment.

| Growth Factor                 | <b>Biological Activity</b>                             |
|-------------------------------|--|
| EGF (Epidermal Growth         | Enhance wound healing                                  |
| Factor)                       | Accelerate epidermal regeneration                      |
|                               | Cell differentiation and mitosis                       |
| PDGF (Platelet derived Growth | Cellular migration                                     |
| Factor)                       | enhance motility of fibroblasts, endothelial cells and |
|                               | neurons  |
| IGF-1 (Insulin-like Growth    | Angiogenesis   |
| Factor1)                      | Cell differentiation                                   |
|                               | Mitogen for fibroblasts, smooth muscle cells, and      |
| TGFβ-1 (Transforming Growth   | osteoblasts  |
| Factor- Beta1)                | Promote angiogenesis and extracellular matrix          |
|                               | production   |
| VEGF (Vascular Endothelial    | Induce angiogenesis                                    |
| Growth Factor) A, B and C     | Alter vascular physiology and permeability             |
| FGF1 (Fibroblast Growth       | Induce fibroblast proliferation and angiogenesis       |
| Factor)                       | 1  |

# Table 11: Growth factors found in alpha-granule (56-58)

#### 2) <u>PRP in Clinical Practice</u>

#### a. PRP in androgenic alopecia

Parul Singhal et al. injected PRP 2 weekly for 4 sittings and observed that there was clinical improvement in hair counts, thickness, and hair root strength<sup>(59)</sup>.

Betsi E. E. et al. showed that in early stage of alopecia PRP injections had better results and improvement lasted longer<sup>(60)</sup>. Growth factors from PRP lead to hair regrowth by inducing proliferation of dermal papilla cells.

#### b. PRP in peri-orbital hypermelanosis

Salah Hashin Al-shami injected PRP intradermally monthly in whole face for 3 months<sup>(61)</sup>. There was 38% improvement which is moderate in 6 months. Another study by Mehryan et al. showed improvement in hyperpigmentation. In Single sitting, 1.5ml PRP was injected in 10 patients and significant improvement was found (P = 0.010)<sup>(62)</sup>.

#### c. PRP in melasma

In a randomized, placebo-controlled trial of PRP by Sirithanabadeekul et al, for treatment of melasma (n = 10), found that 2 weekly PRP injections significantly improved melasma in 6 weeks of treatment, which was shown by decrease in mMASI scores, and increased patient satisfaction, however assessment with Mexameter (erythema and melanin index) did not show significant difference <sup>(3)</sup>. Another study by Tuknayat et al also showed improvement in melasma on 54 Indian patients. Similarly few case reports are also present on PRP, stating that PRP has efficacy in melasma.

Case studies have demonstrated its efficacy in reducing hyperpigmention in melasma, which was not compared with standard treatment in a controlled trial. Hence, we aimed to investigate the effectiveness of PRP injection for melasma treatment in a randomized, split-face, singleblinded prospective trial.

## Table 12: PRP STUDIES IN MELASMA<sup>(3,63,64)</sup>

| Study                                 | Study type                      | No. of    | PRP           | Single/  | 1 <sup>st</sup> spin | 2 <sup>nd</sup> spin | Response             |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|---------------|----------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|                                       |                                 | patients  | Sittings      | double   | rate and             | rate and             |                      |
|                                       |                                 | _         | -             | spin PRP | time                 | time                 |                      |
|                                       |                                 |           |               | 1        |                      |                      |                      |
|                                       |                                 |           |               |          |                      |                      |                      |
| Garg et al., 2014                     | Case report                     | 1         | 6 sittings at | Double   | 1200 RPM             | 4800                 | MASI improved        |
|                                       |                                 |           | irregular     |          | X 12 min             | RPM x                | from 64 to 34.       |
|                                       |                                 |           | interval in   |          |                      | 18 mins              |                      |
|                                       |                                 |           | 32 weeks.     |          |                      |                      |                      |
| Çayirli M et al., 2014                | Case report                     | 1         | 3, every 15   | Single   | 3500 rpm             | N/A                  | >80%                 |
|                                       |                                 |           | days          |          | x 8 mins             |                      | Improvement in       |
|                                       |                                 |           |               |          |                      |                      | melasma              |
| Sirithanabadeekul et al,              | Pilot randomised                | 10        | 2 weekly x    | Single   | 3200 RPM             | N/A                  | 28.9% change in      |
| 2019                                  | control trial                   |           | 3 sittings    |          | X 4                  |                      | mMASI.               |
|                                       |                                 |           |               |          | minutes              |                      |                      |
| Hofny et al, 2019                     | Split face trial                | 23        | 3 sitting     | Double   | 1600 RPM             | 4000                 | >50% reduction       |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |                                 | -         | 8             |          | x 10 mins            | RPM x                | in mMASI IN          |
|                                       | Intradermal                     |           | 4 weekly      |          |                      | 10 min               | 48% CASES            |
|                                       | injections on the left side and |           | interval      |          |                      | 10 1111              | 40% Criblis          |
|                                       | microneedling                   |           |               |          |                      |                      |                      |
|                                       | before and after                |           |               |          |                      |                      |                      |
|                                       | on the right side               |           |               |          |                      |                      |                      |
| Gamea et al., 2020                    | RCT                             | 40 total, | 4 sittings    | Double   | 2000 RPM             | 5000                 | Group A- 58.9        |
|                                       |                                 |           |               |          | x 3 mins             | RPM x                | % reduction in mMASI |
|                                       | 2 groups                        | Group A = | 3 weeklyX     |          |                      | 5 min                |                      |
|                                       | Group A-Topical                 | 20        | 12 weeks      |          |                      |                      | 2. Group B -         |
|                                       | TA 5 % BD 12                    |           |               |          |                      |                      | 70.2 % reduction     |
|                                       | weeks                           | Group B = |               |          |                      |                      |                      |
|                                       | Group B-                        | 20        |               |          |                      |                      | improvement          |
|                                       | Additional                      |           |               |          |                      |                      | GROUP $B > A$ ,      |
|                                       | intradermal PRP                 |           |               |          |                      |                      | significant          |
| Tukyanat el al, 2021                  | Open label                      | 40        | 3 sitting     | Double   |                      | 4000                 | Average 54.5%        |
|                                       | prospective trial               |           |               |          |                      | RPM X                | reduction in         |
|                                       |                                 |           | 4 weekly      |          | 1600 RPM             | 10 min               | mMASI                |
|                                       |                                 |           | interval      |          | A 10 min             |                      |                      |
|                                       |                                 |           |               |          |                      |                      |                      |
| 1                                     | 1                               | 1         | 1             |          | 1                    |                      |                      |

## **MATERIALS & METHODS**

#### 1) STUDY SETTING:

This study was conducted on consecutive patients of melasma attending the Dermatology, Venereology and Leprology Out Patient Department at AIIMS Jodhpur.

#### 2) STUDY DESIGN:

Split face single blinded placebo controlled randomized study.

Group A : Topical Hydroquinone 2% + intradermal Platelet rich plasma (PRP) Group B : Topical Hydroquinone 2% + intradermal Normal saline (NS)

## **3) STUDY PARTICIPANTS:**

#### A) Inclusion criteria:

Patients fulfilling all the following criteria were included in study, after informed written consent.

- 1. Patients with facial melasma with bilateral involvement.
- 2. Age  $\geq$  18 yrs

## **B)** Exclusion criteria:

Patient having any of the following criteria were excluded from study.

- 1. Pregnancy and Lactation
- 2. Bleeding tendencies
- 3. On treatment with any topical or oral or procedural treatment of melasma at the time of study or in last two weeks
- 4. Obvious infection at skin site
- 5. Hormone contraception or hormone replacement therapy

## 4) SAMPLING:

#### SAMPLE SIZE : 64 patients

Sirithanabadeekul P et al have reported Melanin index values at 10 weeks as  $238.63 \pm 16.4$  in PRP group and of  $249.47 \pm 21.36$  in the control group. Considering this for sample size calculation, we estimated that 64 patients will be

needed for the split face study at 95% confidence interval, 80% power and 30% contingency.

Sample size calculation was done using this formula

$$n_{1} = \frac{(\sigma_{1}^{2} + \sigma_{2}^{2} / \kappa)(z_{1-\alpha/2} + z_{1-\beta})^{2}}{\Delta^{2}}$$

$$n_{2} = \frac{(\kappa * \sigma_{1}^{2} + \sigma_{2}^{2})(z_{1-\alpha/2} + z_{1-\beta})^{2}}{\Delta^{2}}$$

The notation for the formulae are:  $n_1 = \text{sample size of Group 1}$   $n_2 = \text{sample size of Group 2}$   $\sigma_1 = \text{standard deviation of Group 1}$   $\sigma_2 = \text{standard deviation of Group 2}$   $\Delta = \text{ difference in group means}$   $\kappa = \text{ ratio = } n_2/n_1$   $Z_{1-\alpha/2} = \text{ two-sided Z value (eg. Z=1.96 for 95\% confidence interval).}$  $Z_{1-\beta} = \text{ power}$ 

#### **Reference:**

Bernard Rosner. Fundamentals of Biostatistics (5th edition). (based on equation 8.27)

#### 5) STUDY DURATION:

Jan 2020 to June 2021 (18 months)

#### 6) ETHICAL APPROVAL:

Thesis proposal was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Jodhpur vide certificate reference no. AIIMS/IEC/2020/2055 dated 1<sup>st</sup> January 2020 and CTRI registration no. CTRI/2020/07/026311 dated 2<sup>nd</sup> July 2020.

#### 7) PRIMARY EFFICACY PARAMETERS

- 1) Modified Melasma Area Severity Index (Annexure 2) at each visit
- 2) Melasma Severity Index was calculated (Annexure 3) at each visit
- 3) Investigators global assessment (0 to 100%) at each visit
- 4) Patients global assessment score (0 to 100%) at baseline and end of study

#### 8) SECONDARY EFFICACY PARAMETERS

- Dermoscopy: At baseline and end of study (Grade 1 Poor response, Grade 2 – fair response, Grade 3 – good response, Grade 4 – excellent response)
- 2) MELASQOL (Annexure 4) at baseline and end of study
- 3) Side effects as reported by patients at any time during the study

#### 9) STUDY PROCEDURE:

All the patients were aware of the intervention, but were unaware of the side of face where the intervention was given. Also the co-assessor were unaware of the interventional and the placebo sides.

Patients applied hydroquinone 2 % on both cheeks daily at night from baseline to week 8, then 5 days per week from week 9 to week 12 thereafter 3 days per week till total 16 weeks. However hydroquinone application was not tapered in patients who did not achieve at least 50% response from baseline. Clinical photography was done using 7T model of Oneplus (OnePlus technology, Shenzhen, China). The lighting condition and position of patients was kept uniform as far as possible.

#### **10) PLATELET RICH PLASMA PREPARATION**

Whole blood was withdrawn in a 20 ml vial from the patients into Vacuette  $\mathbb{R}$  trisodium citrate tube.

Draw whole blood (20 ml) by venipuncture

 $\downarrow$ 

Collect in 20 ml conical tubes containing anticoagulant (Trisodium citrate)

 $\downarrow$ 

Centrifuge at 160 g for 10 minutes



Aspirate plasma + buffy coat

 $\downarrow$ 

Centrifuge at 400 g for 10 minutes

 $\downarrow$ 

Platelet-rich plasma (PRP)

#### 11) PATIENT PREPARATION AND INTRADERMAL INJECTION:

Pre-procedure topical analgesic cream (2.5% lidocaine and 2.5% prilocaine, EMLA) was applied on the lesions and kept for one hour before treatment and subsequently removed before administering the injection.

Platelet rich plasma was activated using calcium gluconate and 0.1 ml was injected intradermally into melasma-affected areas on one side of the face in a random manner, and 0.1 ml of normal saline was injected intradermally on the other (placebo group) using insulin syringe keeping inter-pucture gap of 0.5 to 1 cm of face. Volume was decided according to the area involved.

Post procedure patients were asked to apply broad- spectrum sunscreens.

Patient were advised to avoid sun exposure, and not to use any other topical preparations on the lesions during the study period.

#### **12) STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

The presentation of the Categorical variables was done in the form of number and percentage (%). On the other hand, the quantitative data were presented as the means  $\pm$  SD and as median with 25th and 75th percentiles (interquartile range). The following statistical tests were applied for the results:

- 1. The comparison of the variables which were quantitative were analysed using Paired t test across follow up and independent t test between two groups.
- 2. The comparison of the variables which were qualitative in nature were analysed using Chi-Square test. If any cell had an expected value of less than 5 then Fisher's exact test was used.

The data entry was done in the Microsoft EXCEL spreadsheet and the final analysis was done with the use of Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software, IBM manufacturer, Chicago, USA, ver 21.0.

For statistical significance, p value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.



#### 

## **RESULTS**

Total 64 patients were recruited of which 45 patients completed follow up and results of these patients are presented according to per protocol (PP) analysis, unless specified otherwise.

## 1) Demographic Data

Demographic data in our study is shown in table 13.

In our study 29 (64.44%) patients were females and 16 (35.56%) patients were males (figure 2).

Overall age group of study subjects is shown in figure 3. Mean age (in years) of study subjects was  $29.49 \pm 8.7$  with median (25th-75th percentile) of 27 (22-38)

Education wise, the biggest group was of graduates at 25 (55.56%) patients as shown in table 13.

| Demographic         | Frequency       | Demoentage (9/) |  |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| characteristics     | rrequency       | Percentage (%)  |  |
| Gender              |                 |                 |  |
| Female              | 29              | 64.44%          |  |
| Male                | 16              | 35.56%          |  |
| Age(years)          |                 |                 |  |
| Mean age (in years) | $29.49 \pm 8.7$ |                 |  |
| Education           |                 |                 |  |
| Primary school      | 1               | 2.22%           |  |
| Middle school       | 3               | 6.67%           |  |
| High school         | 3               | 6.67%           |  |
| Intermediate        | 6               | 13.33%          |  |
| Graduate            | 25              | 55.56%          |  |
| Post graduates      | 7               | 15.56%          |  |
| Total               | 45              | 100%            |  |

Table 13:-Distribution of demographic characteristics of study subjects.



Figure 2:-Distribution of gender of study subjects.

Figure 3:-Box and Whisker Plot of age (years) of study subjects.



## 2) Basic clinical parameters

## A) Total duration of illness

In 12 patients (26.6%), total duration of illness was less than 1 year, followed by 3-4 years of duration in 8 (17.78%) patients (Table 14 and figure 4).

| Total duration in years | No. Of patients | Percentage (%) |
|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| 0 to <1                 | 12              | 26             |
| 1 to <2                 | 5               | 11             |
| 2 to <3                 | 6               | 13             |
| 3 to <4                 | 8               | 17             |
| 4 to <5                 | 4               | 8              |
| 5 to <6                 | 4               | 8              |
| 6 to <7                 | 0               | 0              |
| 7 to <8                 | 2               | 4              |
| 8 to <9                 | 1               | 2              |
| 9 to <10                | 0               | 0              |
| >10                     | 2               | 4              |
| >20                     | 1               | 2              |
| Total                   | 45              | 100            |

Table 14 :-Distribution of total duration of illness (years) of study subjects.

Figure 4:- Bar Graph showing total duration of illness (years) of study subjects.



- B) Site of Platelet Rich Plasma injection (PRP): In 23 (51.11%) of patients, PRP injection was given on right side of face and in remaining 22 patients (48.89%) PRP was given on left side of face.
- C) *Distribution of co-morbidity among study subjects* : Majority that is 39 (86.67%) patients did not have any significant co-morbidity in the past (Table 15).

| Co-morbidities           | Frequency | Percentage |
|--------------------------|-----------|------------|
| No Relavant co-morbidity | 39        | 86.67%     |
| Hypertension             | 1         | 2.22%      |
| Tuberculosis             | 2         | 4.44%      |
| Thyroid                  | 1         | 2.22%      |
| other co-morbidity       | 3         | 6.67%      |

Table 15 : Distribution of co-morbidities among the study subjects

# *D) Distribution of menstrual and Obstetric history in study subjects:*

Out of the 29 female patients, 17 (58.62%) of patients had positive obstetric history, and 4 (13.79%) had irregular menstrual history.

## E) Use of sunscreen among study subjects

Majority of the patients 31 (68.89%) did not use sunscreen. Only 14 out of 45 patients (31.11%) used sunscreen (Table 16 and figure 5).

Table 16:-Distribution of sunscreen use among the study subjects.

| Sunscreen usage | Frequency | Percentage |
|-----------------|-----------|------------|
| No              | 31        | 68.89%     |
| Yes             | 14        | 31.11%     |
| Total           | 45        | 100.00%    |

Figure 5:-Distribution of sunscreen usage among study subjects.



## **F**) *Fitzpatrick skin type* :

In majority 22 (48.89%) patients, Fitzpatrick skin type was V followed by IV in 20 (44.44%) patients. This is shown in table 17 and fig 6.

Table 17:-Distribution of Fitzpatrick skin type of study subjects.

| Fitzpatrick skin type | Frequency | Percentage |
|-----------------------|-----------|------------|
| III                   | 3         | 6.67%      |
| IV                    | 20        | 44.44%     |
| V                     | 22        | 48.89%     |
| Total                 | 45        | 100.00%    |

Figure 6 :-Distribution of Fitzpatrick skin type of study subjects.



## G) Distribution of colour of melasma

In majority, 26 (57.78%) patients, colour of melasma was dark brown. Colour was light brown in the rest 19 (42.22%).

## H) Distribution of pattern of melasma

In 28 (62.22%) patients, pattern of involvement was malar and in the rest 17 (37.78%) the pattern was centrofacial. (Table 18).

## Table 18 : Distribution of pattern of melasma:

| Pattern of involvement | Frequency | Percentage |
|------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Centrofacial           | 17        | 37.78%     |
| Malar                  | 28        | 62.22%     |

## I) Distribution of Baseline dermoscopic finding

In a majority of patients (24, 53.3%) arcuate pattern was seen, followed by predominantly brown globules in 22 (48.9%) cases. The rest is shown in table 19 and figure 7.

 Table 19 :-Distribution of baseline dermoscopic patterns among study

 subjects:

| Dermoscopic findings         | Frequency               | Percentage |  |  |  |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|--|--|--|
| Brown dots                   |                         |            |  |  |  |
| Predominantly Brown dots     | 4                       | 8.89%      |  |  |  |
| Predominantly Brown globules | 22                      | 48.89%     |  |  |  |
| Pseudoreticular pattern      | Pseudoreticular pattern |            |  |  |  |
| Yes                          | 14                      | 31.11%     |  |  |  |
| Arcuate pattern              | Arcuate pattern         |            |  |  |  |
| Yes                          | 24                      | 53.33%     |  |  |  |
| White dots                   |                         |            |  |  |  |
| Yes                          | 36                      | 80.00%     |  |  |  |

Figure 7:-Distribution of baseline dermoscopic findings among study subjects



#### **3)** Efficacy parameters

# A) Modified Melasma Area Severity Index (mMASI) and Hemi-Modified Melasma Area Severity Index (Hemi-mMASI)

 a) Comparison of modified melasma area severity index {Full face} between baseline and follow up of study subjects.

Mean value of mMASI of study at baseline and 16 weeks was  $4.85 \pm 3.24$  and  $3.17 \pm 1.96$  respectively (table 20 and figure 8). Significant reduction was seen at at visits 4, 8, 12 and 16 weeks. The ITT analysis is shown in table 21 wherein the significant difference was also noted at all visits.

Table 20: Comparison of modified melasma area severity index {Fullface} between baseline and follow up of study subjects (PP analysis).

| Visit    | mMASI<br>(Full face)<br>Mean ± SD | P value     |
|----------|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| Baseline | $4.85 \pm 3.24$                   | -           |
| 4 weeks  | 4.6 ± 3.37                        | 0.047       |
| 8 weeks  | $4.09 \pm 2.93$                   | 0.002       |
| 12 weeks | $3.62 \pm 2.22$                   | $0.003^{*}$ |
| 16 weeks | $3.17 \pm 1.96$                   | $0.001^{*}$ |

Paired t test

Figure 8:-Comparison of modified melasma area severity index {Full face} between baseline and follow up of study subjects.



| Visit    | mMASI<br>(full face)<br>Mean ± SD | P value      |
|----------|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| Baseline | $4.59\pm2.95$                     | -            |
| 4 weeks  | $4.35 \pm 3.07$                   | 0.010        |
| 8 weeks  | 4.17 ± 3                          | 0.011        |
| 12 weeks | $3.62 \pm 2.18$                   | 0.0002       |
| 16 weeks | $3.09 \pm 1.97$                   | $0.0001^{*}$ |

Table 21 : Comparison of modified melasma area severity index {Fullface} between baseline and follow up of study subjects (ITT analysis)

Paired t test

#### b) Comparison of Hemi-mMASI in Group A( intragroup analysis)

Mean value of Hemi-mMASI {Group A} of study subjects at baseline and 16 weeks was  $2.3 \pm 1.47$  and  $1.56 \pm 0.98$  respectively (table 22). Significant reduction seen at 8,12 and 16 weeks. The ITT analysis is shown in table 23 wherein significant difference is notes at 8, 12 and 16 weeks.

Table 22:- Comparison of Hemi-mMASI in Group A (intragroup PP analysis)

| Hemi-modified melasma area severity index {Group<br>A} | Mean ± SD       | P value      |
|--|-----------------|--------------|
| At 0 week  | $2.3 \pm 1.47$  | -            |
| At 4 weeks   | $2.21 \pm 1.57$ | 0.181        |
| At 8 weeks   | $1.99 \pm 1.35$ | 0.004        |
| At 12 weeks  | $1.79 \pm 1.13$ | 0.001        |
| At 16 weeks  | $1.56\pm0.98$   | $0.0001^{*}$ |

Paired t test

#### Table 23:-Comparison of H-mMASI in Group A (intragroup ITT analysis)

| Hemi-modified melasma area severity index<br>{Group A} | Mean ± SD       | P value |
|--|-----------------|---------|
| At 0 week  | $2.2\pm1.37$    | -       |
| At 4 weeks   | $2.1\pm1.45$    | 0.065   |
| At 8 weeks   | $2.03 \pm 1.4$  | 0.018   |
| At 12 weeks  | $1.8 \pm 1.11$  | 0.0004  |
| At 16 weeks  | $1.52 \pm 0.98$ | 0.0001* |

Paired t test

#### c) Comparison of Hemi-mMASI in Group B (intragroup analysis)

Mean value of hemi-modified melasma area severity index {Group B} at baseline and at 16 weeks of study subjects was  $2.51 \pm 1.79$  and  $1.59 \pm 0.97$  respectively (table 24). P values were significant at 4, 8, 12 and 16 weeks. The ITT analysis in table 25 shows significant difference at 8, 12 and 16 weeks.

| Hemi-modified melasma area severity<br>index {Group B} | Mean ± SD       | P value from mean |
|--|-----------------|-------------------|
| At 0 week  | $2.51 \pm 1.79$ | -                 |
| At 4 weeks   | $2.37 \pm 1.84$ | 0.027             |
| At 8 weeks   | $2.08 \pm 1.61$ | 0.001             |
| At 12 weeks  | $1.81 \pm 1.1$  | $0.0002^{*}$      |
| At 16 weeks  | $1.59\pm0.97$   | 0.0001*           |

Table 24:- Comparison of Hemi-mMASI in Group B (intragroup - PP analysis)

Paired t test

| <b>Table 25:-</b> | Comparison | of Hemi-mMASI in | n Group B | (intragroup-ITT | 'analysis) |
|-------------------|------------|------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------|
|                   | 1          |                  | 1         |                 |            |

| Hemi-modified melasma area severity index<br>{Group B} | Mean ± SD       | P value |
|--|-----------------|---------|
| At 0 week  | $2.36 \pm 1.61$ | -       |
| At 4 weeks   | $2.24 \pm 1.66$ | 0.005   |
| At 8 weeks   | $2.12 \pm 1.63$ | 0.009   |
| At 12 weeks  | $1.8\pm1.07$    | 0.0001* |
| At 16 weeks  | $1.55\pm0.97$   | 0.0001* |

Paired t test

d) <u>Comparison of Hemi-mMASI</u> between groups A and B (intergroup analysis) Mean of hemi-modified melasma area severity index at baseline, and at 16 weeks in group A was  $2.3 \pm 1.47$  and  $1.56 \pm 0.98$  respectively and in group B was  $2.51 \pm$ 1.79 and  $1.59 \pm 0.97$  respectively with no significant difference between them (table 26 and figure 9). The ITT analysis is shown in table 27 wherein no significant difference is noted.

| Table 26 : Comparison of hemi-mMAS | I between group A and I | B (intergroup PP |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| analysis).                         |                         |                  |

| Hemi-modified<br>melasma area<br>severity index | Group A(n=45)<br>(Mean ± SD) | Group B(n=45)<br>(Mean ± SD) | P value |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------|
| At 0 week                                       | $2.3 \pm 1.47$               | $2.51 \pm 1.79$              | 0.553   |
| At 4 weeks                                      | $2.21 \pm 1.57$              | $2.37 \pm 1.84$              | 0.65    |
| At 8 weeks                                      | $1.99 \pm 1.35$              | $2.08 \pm 1.61$              | 0.77    |
| At 12 weeks                                     | $1.79 \pm 1.13$              | $1.81 \pm 1.1$               | 0.93    |
| At 16 weeks                                     | $1.56\pm0.98$                | $1.59\pm0.97$                | 0.88    |

Independent t test





| Hemi-modified<br>melasma area | Group<br>A(n=45) | Group<br>B(n=45) | P value |
|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|
| severity index                | Mean $\pm$ SD    | Mean $\pm$ SD    |         |
| At 0 week                     | $2.2\pm1.37$     | $2.36 \pm 1.61$  | 0.54    |
| At 4 weeks                    | $2.1\pm1.45$     | $2.24 \pm 1.66$  | 0.62    |
| At 8 weeks                    | $2.03 \pm 1.4$   | $2.12 \pm 1.63$  | 0.78    |
| At 12 weeks                   | $1.8 \pm 1.11$   | $1.8 \pm 1.07$   | 0.99    |
| At 16 weeks                   | $1.52\pm0.98$    | $1.55\pm0.97$    | 0.88    |
|                               |                  |                  |         |

 Table 27 : Comparison of hemi-mMASI between group A and B (intergroup ITT analysis)

Independent t test

#### e) Comparison of change in hemi-mMASI between groups A and B-

No significant difference was seen in change in hemi-mMASI between group A and B. Mean change in hemi-mMASI at baseline, and at 16 weeks in group A was  $0.12 \pm 0.37$  and  $0.76 \pm 0.98$  respectively and the corresponding values in group B were  $0.15 \pm 0.4$  and  $0.93 \pm 1.1$  respectively (table 28 and fig 10). The ITT analysis is shown in table 29 wherein no significant difference is noted.

Table 28 :- Comparison of change in hemi-mMASI between group A and B (PP analysis).

| Change in Hemi-<br>modified melasma<br>area severity index | Group A(n=45)<br>Mean ± SD | Group B(n=45)<br>Mean ± SD | P value |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|
| At 4 weeks   | $0.12\pm0.37$              | $0.15\pm0.4$               | 0.71    |
| At 8 weeks   | $0.32\pm0.73$              | $0.46\pm0.86$              | 0.40    |
| At 12 weeks  | $0.52\pm0.95$              | $0.71 \pm 1.11$            | 0.38    |
| At 16 weeks  | $0.76\pm0.98$              | 0.93 ± 1.1                 | 0.44    |

Independent t test

Figure 10:- Trend of change in Hemi-mMASI in groups A and B.



| Change in Hemi-<br>modified melasma<br>area severity index | Group A(n=45)<br>Mean ± SD | <b>Group B(n=45)</b><br>Mean ± SD | P value |
|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| At 4 weeks   | $0.12\pm0.33$              | $0.14\pm0.36$                     | 0.78    |
| At 8 weeks   | $0.27\pm0.78$              | $0.39\pm0.95$                     | 0.514   |
| At 12 weeks  | $0.51\pm0.93$              | $0.71 \pm 1.09$                   | 0.315   |
| At 16 weeks  | $0.77\pm0.98$              | $0.97 \pm 1.11$                   | 0.367   |

Table 29:-Comparison of change in hemi-mMASI between group A and B (ITT analysis).

Independent t test

**B**) Comparison of Melasma severity index {Full face} between baseline and follow up of study subjects.

Mean value of melasma severity index of study subjects at baseline and 16 weeks was  $18.28 \pm 13.54$  and  $10.53 \pm 8.52$ , respectively (p value 0.0001) as shown in table 30.

# Table 30:-Comparison of Melasma severity index {Full face} between baseline and follow up of study subjects

| Melasma severity index {Full | Moon + SD         | P value from mean |
|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| face}                        | Micali ± SD       |                   |
| At 0 week                    | $18.28 \pm 13.54$ | -                 |
| At 4 weeks                   | $17.17 \pm 14$    | 0.12              |
| At 8 weeks                   | $14.48 \pm 12.41$ | 0.003             |
| At 12 weeks                  | $12.11 \pm 9.23$  | 0.0001*           |
| At 16 weeks                  | $10.53 \pm 8.52$  | $0.0001^{*}$      |

Paired t test

#### C) Comparison of investigators global assessment (IGA) between group A and B

No significant difference was seen in investigators global assessment between groups A and B (p value <0.05). Mean IGA at 4 weeks; and at 16 weeks in group A was  $12.89 \pm 13.55$  and  $33.11 \pm 19.75$  respectively and in group B was  $14.11 \pm 13.07$  and  $37.11 \pm 18.07$  respectively (table 31 and fig 11).

| Investigators     | Group A(n=45)     | Group B(n=45)     | D voluo |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
| global assessment | Mean $\pm$ SD     | Mean $\pm$ SD     | P value |
| At 0 week         | $0\pm 0$          | $0\pm 0$          | 1*      |
| At 4 weeks        | $12.89 \pm 13.55$ | $14.11 \pm 13.07$ | 0.664*  |
| At 8 weeks        | $23.22 \pm 14.89$ | $25.33 \pm 16.32$ | 0.52    |
| At 12 weeks       | $29.44 \pm 17.56$ | $32.78 \pm 16.08$ | 0.34    |
| At 16 weeks       | 33.11 ± 19.75     | $37.11 \pm 18.07$ | 0.31    |

Table 31:- Comparison of IGA between group A and B (PP analysis)

Independent t test

Figure 11 : Trend of IGA at different time intervals in groups A and B.



#### **D**) Comparison of patient's global assessment (PGA) between group A and B

Distribution of PGA at 16 weeks was comparable in groups A and B (p value= 0.999). Maximum patients were in range of 50 to < 75% group. This is shown in table 32 and fig 12.

| PGA (%) | Group A(n=45) | Group B(n=45) | P value            |
|---------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 0-<25   | 4 (8%)        | 4 (8%)        |                    |
| 25-<50  | 16(35%)       | 16(35%)       |                    |
| 50-<75  | 21(46%)       | 20 (44%)      | 0.999 <sup>‡</sup> |
| >75     | 4(8%)         | 5(11%)        |                    |
| Total   | 45 (100%)     | 45 (100%)     |                    |

Table 32 :- Comparison of PGA between group A and B

<sup>‡</sup> Fisher's exact test





## E) Post-treatment dermoscopic improvement

Dermoscopic analysis showed Grade1 response in 51.1% of patients in PRP group and 57.7% in placebo group as showing in table 33605 and figure 13.

|                              | PRP       | PLACEBO   |  |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|--|
| Overall change in dermoscopy | N (% age) | N (% age) |  |
| Grade 1                      | 23 (51 1) | 26(57.7)  |  |
| (poor response)              | 23 (31.1) | 20(37.7)  |  |
| Grade 2                      | 5 (11 1)  | 6(12.2)   |  |
| (fair response)              | 5 (11.1)  | 0(15.5)   |  |
| Grade 3                      | 8 (177)   | 6(13.3)   |  |
| (Good response)              | 0 (17.7)  |           |  |
| Grade 4                      | 9 (20 0)  | 7(15,5)   |  |
| (Excellent)                  | 9 (20.0)  | /(13.3)   |  |

Table 33: Post treatment dermoscopic improvement in groups A and B

Figure 13 : Bar graph showing post-treatment dermoscopic improvement in groups A and B at 16 weeks.


F) Comparison of Melasma quality of life(MELASQOL) scale between baseline and follow up of study subjects
Mean value of MELASQOL scale of study subject at baseline and 16 weeks was 41.82 ± 17.27 and 30.93 ± 16.65, respectively (Table 33 ).

 Table 33:-Comparison of Melasma quality of life scale between baseline and follow up of study subjects

| Melasma quality<br>of life scale | Mean ± SD         | P value |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|---------|
| At 0 week                        | $41.82 \pm 17.27$ | 0.0021* |
| At 16 weeks                      | $30.93 \pm 16.65$ | 0.0051  |

#### G) Comparison of adverse effects between group A and B.

Distribution of adverse effects was comparable between group A and B (p value=1). As represented in table 34.

| Adverse effects   | Group A(n=45) | Group B(n=45) | P value       |
|-------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Pain on injection | 45 (100%)     | 45 (100%)     | No p value    |
| Erythema          | 5 (11.11%)    | 5 (11.11%)    | $1^{\dagger}$ |
| Burning           | 2 (4.44%)     | 1 (2.22%)     | 1             |
| Acne              | 1 (2.22%)     | 1 (2.22%)     | 1             |

Table 34 : Comparison of adverse effects between group A and B.

<sup>†</sup> Chi square test

# *H*) Comparison of treatment induced additional effects in groups A and B

Distribution of additional effects was comparable between groups A and B with improvement in shine over skin was seen in 60% vs 68.89% respectively (p value=0.378); Improvement in scar pigmentation was seen in 2.22% cases in each group(p value=1).

#### PATIENT NO. 26 figure 14

#### **HYDROQUINONE + PRP (RT SIDE)**



#### AT 16 WEEKS



H-mMASI – 2.4 IGA – 75% PGA – 50%

#### **HYDROQUINONE + NS (LT SIDE)**





H-mMASI – 2.4 IGA – 85% PGA – 50%

#### PATIENT NO. 35 figure 15

#### **HYDROQUINONE + PRP (LT SIDE)**



#### **HYDROQUINONE + NS (RT SIDE)**





H-mMASI – 10.5 IGA – 65% PGA - 90%

#### PATIENT NO. 3 figure 16

#### **HYDROQUINONE + PRP (RT SIDE)**



#### **HYDROQUINONE + NS (LT SIDE)**





H-mMASI – 3.1 IGA –30% PGA – 30%

#### PATIENT NO. 18 figure 17

## **HYDROQUINONE + PRP (RT SIDE)**



#### AT 16 WEEKS



H-mMASI – 0.6 IGA –50% PGA – 40%

#### **HYDROQUINONE + NS (LT SIDE)**





H-mMASI – 0.6 IGA – 45% PGA – 50%

#### PATIENT NO. 33 figure 18

#### **HYDROQUINONE + PRP (RT SIDE)**



#### **HYDROQUINONE + NS (LT SIDE)**





H-mMASI – 3.9 IGA – 45% PGA - 50

# PATIENT NO. 34 figure 19

#### **HYDROQUINONE + PRP (RT SIDE)**



# **CLINICAL PICTURE – HYDROQUINONE + NS (LT SIDE)**





H-mMASI – 2.1 IGA – 25% PGA – 20%

63

# SIDE EFFECTS figure 20

#### **1. EXACERBATION OF ACNE** (CASE 5)

**1.A NORMAL SALINE LEFT SIDE** 







#### **1.B PRP RIGHT SIDE**





#### 2. ERYTHEMA (CASE 54)

#### **2.A NORMAL SALINE RIGHT SIDE**



#### AT 16 WEEKS



#### **2.B PRP LEFT SIDE**





#### **3. TEXTURE CHANGE OF SKIN**

#### **3.A NORMAL SALINE LEFT SIDE**



#### AT 16 WEEKS



Skin became more smoother

#### 3.B PRP RIGHT SIDE





Skin became more smoother

# DERMOSCOPY OF MELASMA (Figure 21)





#### **DERMOSCOPY CASE 43** (figure 22)

#### **DERMOSCOPY** – HYDROQUINONE + NS



#### **DERMOSCOPY – HYDROQUINONE + PRP**



#### **DERMOSCOPY CASE 38** (figure 23)

#### **DERMOSCOPY – HYDROQUINONE + NS**



#### **DERMOSCOPY** – HYDROQUINONE + PRP



#### DISCUSSION

Based on our inclusion criteria, 64 consenting patients with melasma were recruited from Dermatology, Venereology and Leprology Out patient department (OPD) at All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Jodhpur between Jan 2020 and June 2021 out of which 45 patients completed the follow up.

Most of the patients in our study were young with mean age was  $29.5 \pm 8.7$  years (18 to 50 years) which was similar with Achar et al<sup>(9)</sup> 29.9 years (14 to 54 years). However in study by Yalamanchili et al<sup>(25)</sup> had relatively older cohort with mean age of 37.1 years.

Female to male ratio in our study was 1.8:1 which is similar with most of the studies like Yalamanchili at al<sup>(25)</sup> having female to male ratio of 2.1:1. A much higher female preponderance is shown by other studies like Achar et al<sup>(9)</sup> showing female to male ratio of 4:1, Satish et al<sup>(65)</sup> showing 4.2:1 and Kumar et al.<sup>(66)</sup> with 6.4:1. This might be because females are involved in traditional Rajasthani household chores and hence may not be able to visit hospital for dermatological condition which might be considered trivial by the family. Also since they are restricted to house they may be less exposed to sun and hence might have lesser melasma. Sun exposure is the main risk factor for melasma and intensity of sunlight is probably higher in Rajasthan. Men are traditionally outdoor workers in Rajasthan and this could possibly be the reason for the greater proportion of men in our study group.

Education-wise in our study had more of graduates (55.5%) and post graduates (15.5%). Not many studies have looked at the educational status of patients. Similarly, Coban et al and Sarkar et al have reported a significant proportion of patients to be graduates at 54.9% and 30%, respectively <sup>(67,68)</sup>. Increase in education may have lead them to increased awareness about their skin condition, possibly explaining higher representation among our study participants.

Duration of melasma ranged from 2 months to 20 years, with a mean of  $3.4 \pm 4.1$  years, which is similar to that of Achar et al<sup>(9)</sup> and different from Sarkar et al<sup>(12)</sup>.

In our study, majority of the patients (31, 68.9%) did not use sunscreen. Only 14 out of 45 patients (31.1%) used sunscreen which is similar to the study done by Sarkar et al<sup>(68)</sup> which showed that 30.3% of the patients in northern India were using sunscreens regularly. Similarly, Hexsel et al <sup>(69)</sup> found that about 29.9% patients were using sunscreen at melasma onset. Poor use of sunscreen may be due to the ignorance, on part of patients about the role of sunlight in their disease. The fact remains that sunscreen usage is both costly and inconvenient.

Majority of our patients (22, 48.9%) had Fitzpatrick skin type V followed by IV 20 (44.4%), and Fitzpatrick skin type III only in 3 patients (6.7%). These results are consistent with review by Sarkar et al in which majority of the patients belonged to skin types IV (48.3%) and V (40.7%) and Guinot et al (70) having 45% patients of type IV and 40% type V skin. However, Hexsel et al <sup>(69)</sup> found 36% patients with Type III Fitzpatrick skin type. In western Indian population, we have more people with Fitzpatrick skin type IV and V, so is the case in our study. Regional and racial differences maybe accounting for these differences.

In our study majority of the patients (28, 62.2%) had malar pattern, whereas centrofacial was only seen in 17 patients (37.8%). This was consistent with studies by Satish et al (65), Yalamanchili et al <sup>(25)</sup> and with Sarkar et el<sup>(68)</sup> all of which had malar pattern being predominant in 65.9%, 68% and 42% respectively. These findings are different from the study by Achar et al<sup>(9)</sup> which found centrofacial as the most common pattern (55.4%). A study found centrofacial pattern to be predominant in women whereas malar pattern was the most common in men<sup>(26)</sup>. However in our study females also had malar area as the most common site of involvement, while males had equal involvement of both malar area and centrofacial area. As malar area is prominent area of face so more sun exposure occurs over that area thus leading to more predilection for this site.

In majority of our patients (26, 57.8%) colour was dark brown which was in disagreement with Charupalli et al(71) in which light brown in 52.8% patients and dark brown/black in 20.8% patients.

An ancillary aim of our study was to look at dermoscopic characteristics to better standardise assessment for melasma. In majority of patients, brown globules were seen 48.9%, arcuate pattern was present 24 (53.3%) and white dots indicating peri-

follicular sparing was seen 80%. This is different from the results of Yalamanchili et al where reticulate pattern was seen in 95% cases and peri-follicular sparing in 97% patients. In a study by Abdel et  $al^{(72)}$ , pseudoreticular pattern was seen in 45% patients, globules in 93.5% cases , arcuate pattern in 9.7% cases and perifollicular sparing in 67.7% cases.

Mean modified Melasma Area Severity Index (mMASI) at start of treatment of full face was 4.85 which reduced to 3.17 at the end of 16 weeks, which is 34.6% improvement. This is lower than Tuknayat et al which has shown improvement of 54% (13.7 baseline to 6.2 at 16 weeks) in mMASI using PRP therapy<sup>(73)</sup>. This could due difference the method of making PRP, as neither methods nor the total number of sittings required for improvement is standardized.

Mean Hemi-mMASI at start of study in PRP group was  $2.3 \pm 1.5$  which reduced upto  $1.5 \pm 0.9$  as compared to that in the placebo group where it reduced from  $2.5 \pm 1.8$  to  $1.59 \pm 0.9$  at the end of 16 weeks (p=0.44). Results of both is not statistically significant. Sirithanabadeekul (3) et al showed that mean Hemi-mMASI scores in the PRP group reduced from  $4.92 \pm 0.96$  to  $3.5 \pm 0.6$  which were significant on comparing with placebo whose mean Hemi-mMASI reduced from  $4.9 \pm 0.8$  at baseline to  $4.5 \pm 0.9$  (P = 0.042). The authors advised only sun screen in addition to intra dermal injection, whereas our subjects used HQ 2% as well. Our study did not reveal any difference in the efficacy of PRP when compared with saline over and above the standard treatment of HQ 2%. The possible reasons maybe that PRP is not effective or it may be due to less sittings of PRP therapy.

Melasma severity Index (MSI) of full face was  $18.3 \pm 13.5$  at baseline which improved upto  $10.5 \pm 8.5$  at 16 weeks. It is a relatively newer score and has not been used in any study to measure clinical outcomes. We tried using this score in our study as it gives distinct value to pigmentation more than that for area as suggested by Majid et al reducing the interobserver bias<sup>(32)</sup>. As per MSI, % reduction seen in our study is 42.4% which is more than mMASI.

In terms of patient global assessment, approximately 54 to 55% patients reported more than 50% reduction in melasma indicating good response in majority of patients which is similar to study by Tuknayat et al reporting 47.5% patients to be very pleased with PRP therapy in melasma<sup>(73)</sup>. Investigators global assessment improved

by 33% in PRP group and 37% in placebo group (p= 0.31) indicating moderate improvement.

Post therapy lightening in dermoscopy was Grade 1 (poor improvement) in 51% patients of PRP and 58% patients of Saline. This is in contrast to Hay et al which showed good improvement in 45% patients after QS ND: YAG laser. Additional effects such as improvement in texture were also comparable between the two groups which is similar to Tuknayat et al which showed improvement in skin quality and wrinkles<sup>(73)</sup>.

Side effects and complications reported after PRP injections were minimal including pain during injection<sup>(73)</sup> and mild erythema and the ones after hydroquinone included erythema, burning sensation, skin irritation, acneiform lesions. In our study pain was reported by all patients, erythema was seen in 11.1% in both groups, burning sensation was seen in 4.4]4% in group A and 2.2% in group B and acne eruption in 2.2% in both groups. The latter is in agreement with Brozina et al, in which 1 patient developed acne on application of hydroquinone<sup>(74)</sup>. However we cannot be sure whether side effects were due to HQ or saline or PRP, but seeing older studies, some of these side effects may be due to HQ.

Melasma treatment should not only strive to reduce the objective scores, but also to improve the patient's sense of well-being and quality of life. To assess this we used English and Hindi MELASQOL. It was however used for overall entire face, as the questionnaire did not report questions for opposite sides of face. Melasma quality of life index at baseline was 42 out of 70 and at 16 weeks was 31 out of 70, showing a reduction of 26%. In a study done by Sarkar et al, MELASQOL decreased from 47 to 38, showing a reduction of 19% which was lower than that of our study. The possible reason could be sunscreen alone usage by Sarkar et al whereas we used sunscreen with HQ 2% with intradermal saline or PRP injection.

The intragroup analysis on both cheeks show that there is a decreasing trend on mMASI at each visit, and the decrease is significant at each visit. Thus we can infer that hydroquinone 2% is moderately effective in management of melasma with minimal side effects. It is also a possibility that addition of PRP or normal saline has lead to decrease in the existing adverse effects of hydroquinone like burning sensation or irritation of skin or erythema.

Hydroquinone with PRP was not better then hydroquinone with saline, it might be due to the fact that PRP decreases pigmentation by increasing volume and hence saline also might be effective by the same mechanism, thus providing similar efficacy<sup>(63)</sup>. In our study lesser number of PRP sittings done which is similar to study done by Sirithanabadeekul et al<sup>(3)</sup>, who had given 4 sittings of PRP, while it is in contrast with Garg et al which showed improvement in resistant melasma case after 6 sittings of PRP injection. As PRP is a relatively newer therapy and there are various methods of its preparation like single spin or double spin, with different number of rotations, and there is no standardization regarding it. Moreover the frequency at which PRP injections are to be given is also not fixed, we have given 4 weekly injections in our study while Sirithanabadeekul et al<sup>(3)</sup> had given 2 weekly injections. Another case report by Çayırlı et al also showed 80% improvement with 3 PRP injection given at 2 weekly interval<sup>(63)</sup>. Thus total number of injection, area and amount, technique and handling of PRP is not fixed and may cause decrease in its effect. Small size bore of needle may cause inhibition of growth factor. So also increased concentration of white blood cell in PRP may have inhibitory effect leading to its less response<sup>(75)</sup>. In our study mainly patients were of Fitzpatrick skin type V followed by IV and had dark brown melasma, and more severe mixed type melasma with telangiectasia in darker skin types is associated with less response  $(^{76,77})$ .

PRP also has potency of increasing pigmentation with its effects on growth factors and cytokines as shown by Usyal et al<sup>(78)</sup>.

Also Hemi-mMASI was not easy to calculate and there was an element of subjectivity despite using scales. Thus right vs left was not easy and a minor change in area or colour of melasma was not easily detected, leading to almost similar change in Hemi-mMASI in both the groups. More qualitative scales or automated programs are therefore required for better quantification. Sun protection behaviour may be not proper and may have caused increase in pigmentation and decreased the effects of PRP.

Limitation of this study could be attributed to small sample size and limited number of PRP sittings.

#### CONCLUSION

The study was Interventional, prospective, placebo controlled randomized control trial, recruited the patients from the Department of Dermatology, Venereology and Leprology OPD, AIIMS, Jodhpur. The study included 64 patients with melasma on bilateral cheeks. They were divided randomly, Platelet rich plasma (PRP) was injected on right side in 35 patients and on left side in 29 patients. On the other half normal saline was injected. Therapy was given every 4-weekly for 4 sessions. Follow up was done at 4, 8, 12 and 16 weeks with Hemi-mMASI and investigators global assessment for each cheek separately. Patients global assessment, dermoscopy, MELASQOI was done at baseline and at 16 weeks.

Overall the mean age of patients in our study was  $24.5 \pm 8.7$  years (13-60 years) and female to male ratio was 1.8:1. The mean duration of illness was mean  $3.4 \pm 4.1$  years (2 months – 15 years). Majority of the patients did not use sunscreen prior coming to hospital (69%). Among the skin types, darker skin type was more common than the lighter ones, V > IV > III.

Most common pattern of distribution was malar followed by centrofacial. Dark brown colour of melasma was more than the light brown.

Among the efficacy parameters, there was significant reduction in mMASI of entire face at each visit with overall 34.6% reduction.

Hemi-mMASI in both groups (Hydroquinone with PRP and hydroquinone with NS) independently showed decreasing trend from baseline to last visit (16 weeks), 33.3% in PRP group and 36.6% in the saline group.

Melasma severity index showed 42.4% reduction and MELASQOL score showed 26% reduction which was calculated for the entire face.

In terms of patient global assessment, approximately 54 to 55% patients reported more than 50% reduction in melasma indicating good response in majority of patients.

Investigators global assessment which was 33% in PRP and 37% in the placebo group (P=0.31). This indicates that though there was reduction in melasma overall, there was no difference between the two sides.

Dermoscopic characteristics in majority of our patients showed brown globules 48.9%, arcuate pattern 24(53.3%) and white dots indicating peri-follicular sparing in 80% of patients.

Most common side effects and complications reported in our study was pain reported by all patients, erythema was seen in 11.1% in both groups, burning sensation was seen in 4.4% in PRP and 2.2% in placebo and acne eruption in 2.2% in both groups.

Thus we can infer that addition of PRP injection to 2% hydroquinone has not provided any increased benefit on comparing with normal saline injection. Also there lies a possibility that PRP/saline might have decreased the side effects of hydroquinone.

Platelet rich plasma therapy for melasma is relatively novel concept, and it was not possible to reach a definitive conclusion. Thus more and better randomized controlled clinical studies are needed to verify our conclusions.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Sarkar R, Arora P, Garg VK, Sonthalia S, Gokhale N. Melasma update. *Indian* Dermatol Online J. 2014;5(4):426-435
- Rodrigues M, Pandya AG. Melasma: clinical diagnosis and management options. *Australas J Dermatol*. 2015;56(3):151-163.
- Sirithanabadeekul P, Dannarongchai A, Suwanchinda A. Platelet-rich plasma treatment for melasma: A pilot study. J Cosmet Dermatol. 2020;19(6):1321-1327.
- 4. Marx RE. Platelet-rich plasma (PRP): what is PRP and what is not PRP? *Implant Dent*. 2001;10(4):225–8.
- Sehgal VN, Verma P, Srivastava G, Aggarwal AK, Verma S. Melasma: treatment strategy. J Cosmet Laser Ther. 2011;13(6):265-279.
- 6. Rivas S, Pandya AG. Treatment of melasma with topical agents, peels and lasers: an evidence-based review. *Am J Clin Dermatol.* 2013;14(5):359–76.
- Handel AC, Miot LDB, Miot HA. Melasma: a clinical and epidemiological review. An Bras Dermatol. 2014;89:771–82.
- Shenoi SD, Davis SV, Rao S, Rao G, Nair S. Dermatoses among paddy field workers--a descriptive, cross-sectional pilot study. *Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol.* 2005;71(4):254–8.
- Achar A, Rathi SK. Melasma: a clinico-epidemiological study of 312 cases. *Indian J Dermatol*. 2011;56(4):380-382.
- Lee A-Y. Recent progress in melasma pathogenesis. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res.* 2015;28(6):648–60.
- Kwon SH, Hwang YJ, Lee SK, Park KC. Heterogeneous Pathology of Melasma and Its Clinical Implications. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2016;17(6):824.

- Sarkar R, Puri P, Jain RK, Singh A, Desai A. Melasma in men: a clinical, aetiological and histological study. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2010;24(7):768–72.
- Tamega A de A, Miot LDB, Bonfietti C, Gige TC, Marques MEA, Miot HA. Clinical patterns and epidemiological characteristics of facial melasma in Brazilian women. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2013;27(2):151–6.
- Carsberg CJ, Ohanian J, Friedmann PS. Ultraviolet radiation stimulates a biphasic pattern of 1,2-diacylglycerol formation in cultured human melanocytes and keratinocytes by activation of phospholipases C and D. *Biochem J*. 1995;305 (Pt 2)(Pt 2):471-477.
- Roméro-Graillet C, Aberdam E, Biagoli N, Massabni W, Ortonne JP, Ballotti R. Ultraviolet B radiation acts through the nitric oxide and cGMP signal transduction pathway to stimulate melanogenesis in human melanocytes. *J Biol Chem.* 1996;271(45):28052-28056.
- Miot LD, Miot HA, Polettini J, Silva MG, Marques ME. Morphologic changes and the expression of alpha-melanocyte stimulating hormone and melanocortin-1 receptor in melasma lesions: a comparative study. *Am J Dermatopathol*. 2010;32(7):676–82.
- Torres-Álvarez B, Mesa-Garza IG, Castanedo-Cázares JP, Fuentes-Ahumada C, Oros-Ovalle C, Navarrete-Solis J, et al. Histochemical and immunohistochemical study in melasma: evidence of damage in the basal membrane. *Am J Dermatopathol.* 2011;33(3):291–5.
- Kang HY, Hwang JS, Lee JY, Ahn JH, Kim J-Y, Lee E-S, et al. The dermal stem cell factor and c-kit are overexpressed in melasma. *Br J Dermatol*. 2006;154(6):1094–9.
- 19. Ortonne JP, Arellano I, Berneburg M, Cestari T, Chan H, Grimes P, et al. A global survey of the role of ultraviolet radiation and hormonal influences in the development of melasma. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2009;23(11):1254–62.

- Kim N-H, Cheong KA, Lee TR, Lee A-Y. PDZK1 upregulation in estrogenrelated hyperpigmentation in melasma. *J Invest Dermatol*. 2012;132(11):2622– 31.
- Kim J-Y, Lee T-R, Lee A-Y. Reduced WIF-1 expression stimulates skin hyperpigmentation in patients with melasma. J Invest Dermatol. 2013;133(1):191–200.
- Kim EH, Kim YC, Lee E-S, Kang HY. The vascular characteristics of melasma. J Dermatol Sci. 2007;46(2):111–6.
- Kim N-H, Choi S-H, Kim C-H, Lee CH, Lee TR, Lee A-Y. Reduced MiR-675 in exosome in H19 RNA-related melanogenesis via MITF as a direct target. J Invest Dermatol. 2014;134(4):1075–82.
- Kim K-H, Bin B-H, Kim J, Dong SE, Park PJ, Choi H, et al. Novel inhibitory function of miR-125b in melanogenesis. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res*. 2014;27(1):140–4.
- 25. Yalamanchili R, Shastry V, Betkerur J. Clinico-epidemiological Study and Quality of Life Assessment in Melasma. *Indian J Dermatol.* 2015;60(5):519.
- 26. Sarkar R, Ailawadi P, Garg S. Melasma in Men: A Review of Clinical, Etiological, and Management Issues. *J Clin Aesthet Dermatol*. 2018;11(2):53.
- Ritter CG, Fiss DVC, Borges da Costa J a. T, de Carvalho RR, Bauermann G, Cestari TF. Extra-facial melasma: clinical, histopathological, and immunohistochemical case-control study. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2013;27(9):1088–94.
- Basit H, Godse KV, Al Aboud AM. Melasma. In: *StatPearls*. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2021.
- Mishra SN, Dhurat RS, Deshpande DJ, Nayak CS. Diagnostic utility of dermatoscopy in hydroquinone-induced exogenous ochronosis. *Int J Dermatol*. 2013;52(4):413–7.

- 30. Kang HY, Bahadoran P, Suzuki I, Zugaj D, Khemis A, Passeron T, et al. In vivo reflectance confocal microscopy detects pigmentary changes in melasma at a cellular level resolution. *Exp Dermatol.* 2010;19(8):e228-233.
- Pandya AG, Hynan LS, Bhore R, Riley FC, Guevara IL, Grimes P, et al. Reliability assessment and validation of the Melasma Area and Severity Index (MASI) and a new modified MASI scoring method. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2011;64(1):78–83, 83.e1-2.
- 32. Majid I, Haq I, Imran S, Keen A, Aziz K, Arif T. Proposing Melasma Severity Index: A New, More Practical, Office-based Scoring System for Assessing the Severity of Melasma. *Indian J Dermatol.* 2016;61(1):39–44.
- 33. Balkrishnan R, Mcmichael AJ, Camacho FT, Saltzberg F, Housman TS, Grummer S, et al. Development and validation of a health-related quality of life instrument for women with melasma. *Br J Dermatol.* 2003;149(3):572–7.
- 34. Sarkar R, Garg S, Dominguez A, Balkrishnan R, Jain RK, Pandya AG. Development and validation of a Hindi language health-related quality of life questionnaire for melasma in Indian patients. *Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol.* 2016;82(1):16–22.
- 35. Resnik S. Melasma induced by oral contraceptive drugs. J Am Med Assoc. 1967;199(9):601-605.
- 36. Lakhdar H, Zouhair K, Khadir K, et al. Evaluation of the effectiveness of a broad-spectrum sunscreen in the prevention of chloasma in pregnant women. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2007;21(6):738-742.
- 37. Duteil L, Cardot-Leccia N, Queille-Roussel C, Maubert Y, Harmelin Y, Boukari F, et al. Differences in visible light-induced pigmentation according to wavelengths: a clinical and histological study in comparison with UVB exposure. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res.* 2014;27(5):822–6.
- 38. Castanedo-Cazares JP, Hernandez-Blanco D, Carlos-Ortega B, Fuentes-Ahumada C, Torres-Álvarez B. Near-visible light and UV photoprotection in the

treatment of melasma: a double-blind randomized trial. *Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed*.

- 39. Boo YC. Arbutin as a Skin Depigmenting Agent with Antimelanogenic and Antioxidant Properties. *Antioxidants (Basel)*. 2021;10(7):1129.
- Sarkar R, Gokhale N, Godse K, et al. Medical Management of Melasma: A Review with Consensus Recommendations by Indian Pigmentary Expert Group. *Indian J Dermatol.* 2017;62(6):558-577.
- 41. Arndt KA, Fitzpatrick TB. Topical Use of Hydroquinone as a Depigmenting Agent. *J Am Med Assoc*. 1965;194(9):965–7.
- Obagi S, Gandhi RK. Chapter 85 Skin Rejuvenation and Resurfacing. In: Brennan PA, Schliephake H, Ghali GE, Cascarini L. *Maxillofacial Surgery*. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Elsevier; 2017:1286–1301.
- 43. Ferreira Cestari T, Hassun K, Sittart A, de Lourdes Viegas M. A comparison of triple combination cream and hydroquinone 4% cream for the treatment of moderate to severe facial melasma. *J Cosmet Dermatol*. 2007;6(1):36-39.
- Griffiths CEM, Finkel LJ, Ditre CM, Hamilton TA, Ellis CN, Voorhees J j. Topical tretinoin (retinoic acid) improves melasma. A vehicle-controlled, clinical trial. *Br J Dermatol.* 1993;129(4):415–21.
- 45. Chan R, Park KC, Lee MH, et al. A randomized controlled trial of the efficacy and safety of a fixed triple combination (fluocinolone acetonide 0.01%, hydroquinone 4%, tretinoin 0.05%) compared with hydroquinone 4% cream in Asian patients with moderate to severe melasma. *Br J Dermatol.* 2008;159(3):697-703.
- 46. Neagu N, Conforti C, Agozzino M, et al. Melasma treatment: a systematic review. *J Dermatolog Treat*. 2021;1-39.
- 47. Deo KS, Dash KN, Sharma YK, Virmani NC, Oberai C. Kojic Acid vis-a-vis its Combinations with Hydroquinone and Betamethasone Valerate in Melasma: A

Randomized, Single Blind, Comparative Study of Efficacy and Safety. *Indian J Dermatol.* 2013;58(4):281–5.

- Fitton A, Goa KL. Azelaic acid. A review of its pharmacological properties and therapeutic efficacy in acne and hyperpigmentary skin disorders. *Drugs*. 1991;41(5):780–98.
- 49. Sarma N, Chakraborty S, Poojary SA, Rathi S, Kumaran S, Nirmal B, et al. Evidence-based Review, Grade of Recommendation, and Suggested Treatment Recommendations for Melasma. *Indian Dermatol Online J*. 2017;8(6):406–42.
- 50. Hofny ERM, Abdel-Motaleb AA, Ghazally A, Ahmed AM, Hussein MRA. Platelet-rich plasma is a useful therapeutic option in melasma. J Dermatolog Treat. 2019;30(4):396-401.
- Nurden AT. Platelets, inflammation and tissue regeneration. *Thromb Haemost*. 2011;105 Suppl 1:S13-S33.
- 52. Mourão CF de AB, Valiense H, Melo ER, Mourão NBMF, Maia MD-C. Obtention of injectable platelets rich-fibrin (i-PRF) and its polymerization with bone graft: technical note. *Rev Col Bras Cir.* 2015;42(6):421–3.
- 53. Fitch-Tewfik JL, Flaumenhaft R. Platelet granule exocytosis: a comparison with chromaffin cells. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. 2013;4:77.
- 54. Kahr WH. Granules and thrombus formation. *Blood*. 2009;114(5):932-933.
- 55. Arshdeep, Kumaran MS. Platelet-rich plasma in dermatology: boon or a bane? *Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol.* 2014;80(1):5–14.
- Foster TE, Puskas BL, Mandelbaum BR, Gerhardt MB, Rodeo SA. Platelet-rich plasma: from basic science to clinical applications. *Am J Sports Med*. 2009;37(11):2259-2272.
- 57. Arnoczky SP, Shebani-Rad S. The Basic Science of Platelet-rich Plasma (PRP): What Clinicians Need to Know. *Sports Med Arthrosc Rev.* 2013;21(4):180–5.

- 58. Sánchez-González DJ, Méndez-Bolaina E, Trejo-Bahena NI. Platelet-rich plasma peptides: key for regeneration. *Int J Pept.* 2012;2012:532519.
- 59. Singhal P, Agarwal S, Dhot PS, Sayal SK. Efficacy of platelet-rich plasma in treatment of androgenic alopecia. *Asian J Transfus Sci.* 2015;9(2):159–62.
- 60. Betsi E-E, Germain E, Kalbermatten DF, Tremp M, Emmenegger V. Plateletrich plasma injection is effective and safe for the treatment of alopecia. *Eur J Plast Surg* 2013;36(7):407-12.
- 61. Al-Shami SH. Treatment of Periorbital Hyperpigmentation Using Platelet-Rich Plasma Injections. *Am J Dermatol Venereol*. 2014;3(5):87–94.
- 62. Mehryan P, Zartab H, Rajabi A, Pazhoohi N, Firooz A. Assessment of efficacy of platelet-rich plasma (PRP) on infraorbital dark circles and crow's feet wrinkles. *J Cosmet Dermatol*. 2014;13(1):72-78.
- 63. Çayırlı M, Çalışkan E, Açıkgöz G, Erbil AH, Ertürk G. Regression of Melasma with Platelet-Rich Plasma Treatment. *Ann Dermatol*. 2014;26(3):401–2.
- 64. Garg S, Khillan K, Bharija SC. Platelet-Rich Plasma Therapy in the Treatment of Recalcitrant Melasma. *Dermatol Surg*. 2019;45(3):482-484.
- 65. S Satish DA, Aparna AD, Radhika VK. A clinico-epidemiological study of melasma in 402 patients in an office-based practice. *Clin Dermatol Rev.* 2019;3(2):154
- 66. Kumar S, Mahajan BB, Kamra N. Melasma in North Indians: A clinical, epidemiological, and etiological study. *Pigment International*. 2014;1(2):95.
- 67. Coban M. Quality of Life of the Patients with Melisma. *J Cosmo Trichol.* 2018;4(1000133):2.
- 68. Sarkar R, Jagadeesan S, Basavapura Madegowda S, et al. Clinical and epidemiologic features of melasma: a multicentric cross-sectional study from India. *Int J Dermatol.* 2019;58(11):1305-1310.

- 69. Hexsel D, Lacerda DA, Cavalcante AS, Machado Filho CAS, Kalil CLPV, Ayres EL, et al. Epidemiology of melasma in Brazilian patients: a multicenter study. *Int J Dermatol.* 2014;53(4):440–4.
- Guinot C, Cheffai S, Latreille J, Dhaoui MA, Youssef S, Jaber K, et al. Aggravating factors for melasma: a prospective study in 197 Tunisian patients. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2010;24(9):1060–9.
- 71. Charupalli K, Rajasekhar TS, Mukkara M. Clinico-epidemiological study of melasma in men. *J Clin Sci Res.* 2018;7(1):19.
- 72. Abdel Hay R, Mohammed FN, Sayed KS, Abd El Fattah NA, Ibrahim S. Dermoscopy as a useful tool for evaluating melasma and assessing the response to 1064-nm Q-switched Nd:YAG laser. *Dermatol Ther*. 2020;33(4):e13629.
- 73. Tuknayat A, Thami GP, Bhalla M, Sandhu JK. Autologous intralesional platelet rich plasma improves melasma. *Dermatol Ther*. 2021;34(2):e14881.
- 74. Bronzina E, Clement A, Marie B, Fook Chong KT, Faure P, Passeron T. Efficacy and tolerability on melasma of a topical cosmetic product acting on melanocytes, fibroblasts and endothelial cells: a randomized comparative trial against 4% hydroquinone. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2020;34(4):897–903.
- 75. Pavlovic V, Ciric M, Jovanovic V, Stojanovic P. Platelet Rich Plasma: a short overview of certain bioactive components. *Open Med (Wars)*. 2016;11(1):242–7.
- 76. Amini F, Ramasamy T.S., Yew CH, Response to intradermal autologous platelet rich plasma injection in refractory dermal melasma: report of two cases. *J Health Trans med.* 2015;18,1-6
- 77. Chan NPY, Ho SGY, Shek SYN, Yeung CK, Chan HH. A case series of facial depigmentation associated with low fluence Q-switched 1,064 nm Nd:YAG laser for skin rejuvenation and melasma. *Lasers Surg Med.* 2010;42(8):712–9.
- Uysal CA, Ertas NM. Platelet-Rich Plasma Increases Pigmentation. J Craniofac Surg. 2017;28(8):e793.

#### <u>ANNEXURE – I</u>



#### अखिल भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान संस्थान, जोधपुर All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Jodhpur संस्थागत नैतिकता समिति Institutional Ethics Committee

No. AIIMS/IEC/2020/2055

Date: 01/01/2020

#### ETHICAL CLEARANCE CERTIFICATE

Certificate Reference Number: AHMS/IEC/2019-20/965

Project title: "Efficacy of platelet rich plasma therapy in patients of melasma: A single-blinded placebo controlled randomized study"

|   | Nature of Project: | Research Project   |
|---|--------------------|--|
|   | Submitted as:      | M.D. Dissertation  |
|   | Student Name:      | Dr. Neelam Chhajed   |
|   | Guide:             | Dr.Saurabh Singh   |
| 1 | Co-Guide:          | Dr.Abhishek Bhardwai, Dr. Anil Budania, Dr.Anupama Bains & Dr.Saptarsh |
|   |                    | Mandal   |

This is to inform that members of Institutional Ethics Committee (Annexure attached) met on 23-12-2019 and after through consideration accorded its approval on above project. Further, should any other methodology be used, would require separate authorization.

The investigator may therefore commence the research from the date of this certificate, using the reference number indicated above.

Please note that the AIIMS IEC must be informed immediately of:

- · Any material change in the conditions or undertakings mentioned in the document.
- Any material breaches of ethical undertakings or events that impact upon the ethical conduct of the research.
- In case of any issue related to compensation, the responsibility lies with the Investigator and Colovestigators.

The Principal Investigator must report to the AIIMS IEC in the prescribed format, where applicable, bi-annually, and at the end of the project, in respect of ethical compliance.

AIIMS IEC retains the right to withdraw or amend this if:

- · Any unethical principle or practices are revealed or suspected
- · Relevant information has been withheld or misrepresented

AIIMS IEC shall have an access to any information or data at any time during the course or after completion of the project.

On behalf of Ethics Committee, I wish you success in your research.

Enclose:

1. Annexure 1

Sharma

Page 1 of 2

Basni Phase-2, Jodhpur, Rajasthan-342005, Website: www.arimsjodhpur.edu.in, Phone: 0291-2740741 Extn. 3109 Email: ethicscommittee@animsjodhpur.edu.in

#### <u>ANNEXURE – II</u>

Modified MASI Calculation

Modifed MASI total score = 0.3 × A (forehead) × D (forehead) + 0.3×A (left malar)×D (left malar) +

0.3×A (right malar)×D (right malar) + 0.1×A (chin)×D (chin)

The severity of the melasma in each of the four regions (forehead, right malar region, left malar region and chin) is assessed based on two variables: percentage of the total area involved (A) and darkness (D)

The darkness of the melasma (D) is compared to the normal skin and graded on a scale of 0 to 4 as follows:

| Scoring of darkness of melasma (D)           | Scoring of area involvement   |
|--|-------------------------------|
| Score 0 : Normal skin colour, no evidence of | Score 1 : < 10% area involved |
| hyperpigmentation                            | Score 2 : 10-29% involved     |
| Score 1 : Barely visible hyperpigmentation,  | Score 3 : 30-49% involved     |
| Score 2 : Mild hyperpigmentation             | Score 4 : 50-69% involved     |
| Score 3 : Moderate hyperpigmentation         | Score 5 : 70-89% involved     |
| Score 4 : Severe hyperpigmentation           | Score 6 : 90-100% involved    |
|  |                               |
|  |                               |

#### ANNEXURE – III

#### MELASMA SEVERITY INDEX CALCULATION (MSI)<sup>19</sup>

| Scoring of Pigmentation                | Scoring of area involvement   |
|--|-------------------------------|
| Score 0 : No visible pigmentation      | Score 1 : < 10% area involved |
| Score 1 : Barely visible pigmentation, | Score 2 : 11-30% involved     |
| Score 2 : Mild Pigmentation            | Score 3 : 31-60% involved     |
| Score 3 : Moderate Pigmentation        | Score 4 : > 60% involved      |
| Score 4 : Severe Pigmentation          |                               |

#### MSI = 0.4 (a x p<sup>2</sup>) l + 0.4 (a x p<sup>2</sup>) r + 0.42(a x p<sup>2</sup>) n

'a' stands for 'area of involvement', 'p' for 'severity of pigmentation', 'l' for left half of face, 'r' for right half of face and 'n' for nose.

#### <u>ANNEXURE – IV</u>

#### **MELASQOL**

| English Melasma quality of life scale<br>(MELASQOL) *  | Hindi Melasma quality of life scale<br>(MELASQOL)   | Hindi Melasma quality of life scale<br>(Hi-MELASQOL) <sup>1</sup>   |
|--|---|---|
| The appearance of your skin<br>condition   | आपकी झाइया / चेहरे के दाग दिखने में<br>कैसे लगते हैं  | Aapki jhaaiyan/chehre ke daag<br>dikhne mein kaise lagte hain   |
| Frustration about your skin condition  | क्या आप अपनी झाइया / चेहरे के दाग<br>के बारे में कठिनाई अनुभव करते हैं  | Kya aap apni jhaaiyan/chehre ke<br>daag ke bare mein kathinaai<br>anubhav karte hain  |
| Embarrassment about your skin<br>condition   | क्या आप अपनी झाइयाँ से लज्जित<br>अनुभव करते हैं   | Kya aap apni jhaaiyon se lajjit<br>anubhav karte hain   |
| Feeling depressed about your skin<br>condition   | क्या आप अपनी झाइयों के बारे में<br>उदासी महसूस करते हैं   | Kya aap apni jhaaiyon ke baarey<br>mein udaasi mahsoos karte hain   |
| The effects of your skin condition on<br>your interaction with other people<br>(e.g. interaction with family, friends,<br>close relationship etc.) | क्या आपकी झाड़या लोगों से ( जैसे<br>परिवार, मित्रों, सगे-सम्बंधियों,<br>पारस्परिक प्रक्रिया, इत्यादि ) व्यवहार<br>करते समय प्रभाव डालती हैं | Kya aapki jhaaiyan logon se (jaisey<br>parivaar, mitron, sagey-<br>sambandhiyon, paarasparik<br>prakriya, ityaadi) vyavahar karte<br>samay prabhaav daalti hain |
| The effects of your condition on<br>your desire to be with people  | क्या आपकी झाइया लोगों के साथ<br>मेलजोल पर प्रभाव डालती हैं  | Kya aapki jhaaiyan logon ke saath<br>meljol par prabhaav daalta hain  |
| Your skin condition making it hard to show affection   | क्या आपकी झाड़या प्यार प्रदर्शन करते<br>समय मुश्किल बना देती हैं  | Kya aapki jhaaiyan pyaar<br>pradarshan karte samay mushkil<br>banadeti hain   |
| Skin discoloration making you feel<br>unattractive to others   | बदरंग त्वचा के कारण आप लोगों से<br>स्वयं को अनाकर्षक महसूस करते हैं   | Badrang twacha ke kaaran aap<br>logon se swayamko anaakarshak<br>mahsoos karte hain   |
| Skin discoloration making you feel<br>less vital or productive   | बदरंग त्वचा के कारण आप अपने को<br>कम उत्पादक महसूस करते हैं   | Badrang twacha ke kaaran aap<br>apneko kam utpaadak mahsoos<br>karte hain   |
| Skin discoloration affecting your<br>sense of freedom  | बदरंग त्वचा आप की आज़ादी और<br>आत्मविश्वास की भावना को प्रभावित<br>करता है  | Badrang twacha aap ki aazaadi aur<br>aatmavishwas ki bhaavna ko<br>prabhaavit karta hai   |

\*Each question was graded by the patient on a Likert scale of 1 (not bothered at all) to 7 (bothered all the time). The score of MELASQOL ranges from 7 to 70. A higher score indicates worse melasma-related health-related quality of life.

+ मापक्रम १ (में बिल्कुल भी चिंता नहीं करते) से ७ के मापक्रम (हमेशा चिंता करते हैं) को विषय दर, बारे में कैसा महसूस करते हैं? १ -बिल्कुल भी चिंता नहीं करते, २ - बहुत कम, ३ - कुछ अक्सर, ४ - कभी कभी, ५ -थोड़ा कुछ, ६ - बहुत कुछ, ७ - हमेशा चिंता करते हैं. मेलास्कोल के ७ से ७० तक आंके

### <u>ANNEXURE –V</u> <u>Proforma</u>

Serial No: Patient Name: Age/ Sex: Father's name: Occupation: AIIMS ID:

Phone no : Education:

**Chief Complains:** 

#### **History:**

**Drug History :** 

#### **Past History:**

DM/ HTN/ TB/Epilepsy/Asthma : K/C/O thyroid disease ? Any co-morbidities :

#### **Menstrual History :**

#### **Obstretric History:**

#### **Occupational History:**

- 1. Exposure to sunlight: Yes/No
- 2. Duration of Exposure
- 3. Type of exposure: intermittent/continuous/seasonal
- 4. Usage of sunscreen : Yes/No

#### Systemic Symptoms : If Any

#### **General Examination:**

Pallor – Icterus – Cyanosis – Clubbing – Lymphadenopathy – Pedal oedema –

#### **Cutaneous Examination:**

Fitzpatrick skin type:

Colour of Melasma pigmentation:

- Pattern of involvement
   Centrofacial (cheeks, forehead, upper lips, nose and chin)
   Malar (cheeks and nose)
   Mandibular(ramus of mandile)
- 2. ColourLight brownBluish greyDark Brown
- Wood's lamp examination
   Enhancement seen
   No enhancement seen
   Patchy enhancement seen
- 4. Dermoscopic findings:



Diagnosis:

Treatment:

| FOLLOW UP  |   |   |   |   |    |    |
|------------|---|---|---|---|----|----|
| Weeks      | 0 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 16 |
|            |   |   |   |   |    |    |
|            |   |   |   |   |    |    |
|            |   |   |   |   |    |    |
|            |   |   |   |   |    |    |
| mMASI      |   |   |   |   |    |    |
|            |   |   |   |   |    |    |
|            |   |   |   |   |    |    |
|            |   |   |   |   |    |    |
|            |   |   |   |   |    |    |
| MSI        |   |   |   |   |    |    |
|            |   |   |   |   |    |    |
|            |   |   |   |   |    |    |
|            |   |   |   |   |    |    |
|            |   |   |   |   |    |    |
| IGA        |   |   |   |   |    |    |
|            |   |   |   |   |    |    |
|            |   |   |   |   |    |    |
|            |   |   |   |   |    |    |
|            |   |   |   |   |    |    |
| MELASOOL   |   |   |   |   |    |    |
|            |   |   |   |   |    |    |
|            |   |   |   |   |    |    |
|            |   |   |   |   |    |    |
|            |   |   |   |   |    |    |
| WOODS LAMP |   |   |   |   |    |    |
|            |   |   |   |   |    |    |
|            |   |   |   |   |    |    |
|            |   |   |   |   |    |    |
|            |   |   |   |   |    |    |
| DERMOSCOPY |   |   |   |   |    |    |
|            |   |   |   |   |    |    |
|            |   |   |   |   |    |    |
|            |   |   |   |   |    |    |
|            |   |   |   |   |    |    |
|            |   |   |   |   |    |    |

#### MELASQOL

| English Melasma quality of life scale<br>(MELASQOL) *  | Hindi Melasma quality of life scale<br>(MELASQOL)   | Hindi Melasma quality of life scale<br>(Hi-MELASQOL) <sup>1</sup>   |
|--|---|---|
| The appearance of your skin<br>condition   | आपकी झाइया / चेहरे के दाग दिखने में<br>कैसे लगते हैं  | Aapki jhaaiyan/chehre ke daag<br>dikhne mein kaise lagte hain   |
| Frustration about your skin condition  | क्या आप अपनी झाइया / चेहरे के दाग<br>के बारे में कठिनाई अनुभव करते हैं  | Kya aap apni jhaaiyan/chehre ke<br>daag ke bare mein kathinaai<br>anubhav karte hain  |
| Embarrassment about your skin<br>condition   | क्या आप अपनी झाइयाँ से लज्जित<br>अनुभव करते हैं   | Kya aap apni jhaaiyon se lajjit<br>anubhav karte hain   |
| Feeling depressed about your skin<br>condition   | क्या आप अपनी झाइयाँ के बारे में<br>उदासी महसूस करते हैं   | Kya aap apni jhaaiyon ke baarey<br>mein udaasi mahsoos karte hain   |
| The effects of your skin condition on<br>your interaction with other people<br>(e.g. interaction with family, friends,<br>close relationship etc.) | क्या आपकी झाड़या लोगों से ( जैसे<br>परिवार, मित्रों, सगे-सम्बंधियों,<br>पारस्परिक प्रक्रिया, इत्यादि ) व्यवहार<br>करते समय प्रभाव डालती हैं | Kya aapki jhaaiyan logon se (jaisey<br>parivaar, mitron, sagey-<br>sambandhiyon, paarasparik<br>prakriya, ityaadi) vyavahar karte<br>samay prabhaav daalti hain |
| The effects of your condition on<br>your desire to be with people  | क्या आपकी झाड़या लोगों के साथ<br>मेलजोल पर प्रभाव डालती हैं   | Kya aapki jhaaiyan logon ke saath<br>meljol par prabhaav daalta hain  |
| Your skin condition making it hard to show affection   | क्या आपकी झाइया प्यार प्रदर्शन करते<br>समय मुश्किल बना देती हैं   | Kya aapki jhaaiyan pyaar<br>pradarshan karte samay mushkil<br>banadeti hain   |
| Skin discoloration making you feel<br>unattractive to others   | बदरंग त्वचा के कारण आप लोगों से<br>स्वयं को अनाकर्षक महसूस करते हैं   | Badrang twacha ke kaaran aap<br>logon se swayamko anaakarshak<br>mahsoos karte hain   |
| Skin discoloration making you feel<br>less vital or productive   | बदरंग त्वचा के कारण आप अपने को<br>कम उत्पादक महसूस करते हैं   | Badrang twacha ke kaaran aap<br>apneko kam utpaadak mahsoos<br>karte hain   |
| Skin discoloration affecting your<br>sense of freedom  | बदरंग त्वचा आप की आज़ादी और<br>आत्मविश्वास की भावना को प्रभावित<br>करता है  | Badrang twacha aap ki aazaadi aur<br>aatmavishwas ki bhaavna ko<br>prabhaavit karta hai   |

\*Each question was graded by the patient on a Likert scale of 1 (not bothered at all) to 7 (bothered all the time). The score of MELASQOL ranges from 7 to 70. A higher score indicates worse melasma-related health-related quality of life.

† मापक्रम १ (में बिल्कुल भी चिंता नहीं करते) से ७ के मापक्रम (हमेशा चिंता करते हैं) को विषय दर, बारे में कैसा महसूस करते हैं? १ -बिल्कुल भी चिंता नहीं करते, २ - बहुत कम, ३ - कुछ अक्सर, ४ - कभी कभी, ५ -थोड़ा कुछ, ६ - बहुत कुछ, ७ - हमेशा चिंता करते हैं. मेलास्कोल के ७ से ७० तक आंके
#### ANNEXURE – VI **Informed Consent Form**

Title of Thesis/ Dissertation: Efficacy of Platelet Rich Plasma Therapy in patients of Melasma : A single-blinded placebo controlled randomized study

Name of PG Student: Dr. Neelam Chhajed Tel. No. 9819093184

Patient/ Volunteer Identification No.:

I, \_\_\_\_\_ S/o or D/o \_\_\_\_\_

R/o \_\_\_\_\_

give my full, free, voluntary consent to be a part of the study "Efficacy of Platelet Rich Plasma Therapy in patients of Melasma : single-blinded placebo Randomized control study", the procedure and nature of which has been explained to me in my own language to my full satisfaction. I confirm that I have had the opportunity to ask questions.

I understand that my participation is voluntary and I am aware of my right to opt out of the study at any time without giving any reason.

I understand that the information collected about me and any of my medical records may be looked at by responsible individuals or from regulatory authorities. I give permission for these individuals to have access to my records.

Date:

Place:

Signature/Left thumb impression (If minor, Parent/Guardian signature)

This to certify that the above consent has been obtained in my presence.

| Date:        |                         |
|--------------|-------------------------|
| Place:       | Signature of PG Student |
| 1. Witness 1 | 2. Witness 2            |
| Signature    | Signature               |
| Name:        | Name:                   |
| Address:     | Address:                |
|              |                         |

### <u>ANNEXURE – VII</u> अखिल भारतीय चिकित्सा विज्ञान संस्थान जोधपुर, राजस्थान सूचित सहमति प्रपत्र

# थोसिस का शीर्षक: "मेल्स्मा के रोगियों में प्लेटलेट रिच प्लाज्मा थेरेपी की प्रभावकारिता : अ सिंगल ब्लायंडेड प्लसीबो कंट्रोल्ड रैंडमायज़्ड स्टडी"

| <u>पीजी छात्र का नाम</u> : डॉ. नीलम छाजेड़ | <u>मोबाइल नंबर</u> : <b>9819093184</b> |
|--|--|
| रोगी / स्वयंसेवी पहचान संख्याः             |  |

मैं,\_\_\_\_\_ एस / ओयाडी / ओ\_\_\_\_\_

आर / ओ \_\_\_\_\_

उपरोक्त अध्ययन "मेल्स्मा के रोगियों में प्लेटलेट रिच प्लाज्मा थेरेपी की प्रभावकारिता :

अ सिंगल ब्लायंडेड प्लसीबो कंट्रोल्ड रैंडमायज़्ड स्टडी" का एक हिस्सा

बनने के लिए मेरी पूर्ण स्वतंत्र, स्वैच्छिक सहमति देता हूँ।

जिस प्रक्रियाऔर प्रकृति को मुझेअपनी पूरी संतुष्टि के लिए अपनी भाषा में समझाया गया है मैं

पुष्टि करता हूं कि मुझे प्रश्न पूछने का अवसर मिला है।

मैं समझता हूं कि मेरी भागीदारी स्वैच्छिक है और मुझे किसी भी कारण दिए बिना किसी भी

समय अध्ययन से बाहर निकलनेका मेराअधिकार है।

मैं समझता हूं कि मेरेऔर मेरे मेडिकल रिकॉर्ड के बारे में एकत्रित की गई जानकारी को याविनियामक प्राधिकरणों से जिम्मेदार व्यक्ति द्वारा देखा जा सकता है।मैं इनलोगों के लिए मेरे रिकॉर्डों तक पहुंचकी अनुमति देता हूं। तारीख

| जगह: | हस्ताक्षर / बाएंअंगूठेकाछाप                |
|------|--|
|      | (नाबालिगकि, माता-पिता / अभिभावक हस्ताक्षर) |

यह प्रमाणित करने के लिए कि मेरी उपस्थिति में उपरोक्त सहमति प्राप्त की गई है तारीख :\_\_\_\_\_

| पीजी छात्र के हस्ताक्षर |
|-------------------------|
| 2. साक्षी 2             |
| हस्ताक्षर               |
| नामः                    |
| पताः                    |
|                         |

#### ANNEXURE – VIII

#### All India Institute of Medical Sciences Jodhpur, Rajasthan

#### PATIENT INFORMATION SHEET (PIS)

This document has been given to provide more information about the disease and this research related to melasma

# The current research project is titled: "Efficacy of Platelet Rich Plasma Therapy in patients of Melasma : Single-blinded placebo control study "

Melasma is an acquired disease of sun- exposed skin. It presents as irregular hyperpigmented patches most commonly seen on cheeks, upper lips, the chin, and the forehead. It is the most common pigmentary disorder among Indians. Main risk factor is sun-light and hormones. Various treatment modalities are available like topical creams, oral drugs, chemical peels, injections etc . But the main concern of this disease is recurrence.

The basic goal of this research is to search for a better modality to treat and prolong recurrence free period and thereby playing a role in guiding medication.

The patient is also informed that all the information given by him will be kept confidential. The patient also reserves the right that during this research, patient can withdraw the consent & can be out of this research without explaining the reasons.

Principle investigator: Dr. Neelam Chhajed

Contact number: 9819093184

### <u>ANNEXURE – IX</u>

# <u>अखिल भारतीय चिकित्सा विज्ञान संस्थान</u> जोधपुर, राजस्थान

#### रोगी सूचना पत्रक

यह दस्तावेज मेलास्मा रोग के बारे में अधिक जानकारी प्रदान करने के लिए दिया गया है और से संबंधित यह शोध प्रदान किया गया है।

# वर्तमान शोध परियोजना का शीर्षक है: "मेल्स्मा के रोगियों में प्लेटलेट रिच प्लाज्मा थेरेपी की प्रभावकारिता : अ सिंगल ब्लायंडेड प्लसीबो कंट्रोल्ड रैंडमायज़्ड स्टडी"

मेलास्मा सूर्य के संपर्क में आने वाली त्वचा की एक बीमारी है। यह अनियमित हाइपरपिगमेंटेड पैच के रूप में प्रस्तुत करता है जो आमतौर पर गाल, ऊपरी होंठ, ठोड़ी और माथे पर देखा जाता है।यह भारतीयों में सबसे आम वर्णक बीमारी है। मुख्य जोखिम कारक सूर्य प्रकाश और हार्मोन है। विभिन्न उपचार तौर-तरीके सामयिक क्रीम, मौखिक दवाएं, रासायनिक छिलके, इंजेक्शन जैसे उपलब्ध है। लेकिन इस बीमारी की मुख्य चिंता पुनरावृत्ति है।

इस शोध का मूल लक्ष्य उपचार और पुनरावृत्ति मुक्त अवधि को लम्बा खींचने के लिए बेहतर तरीके से खोज करना है और इस तरह से मार्गदर्शक दवा की भूमिका निभानी है।

रोगी को यह भी सूचित किया जाता है कि उसके द्वारा दी गई सारी जानकारी गोपनीय रखी जाएगी। रोगी यह भी अधिकार सुरक्षित रखता है कि इस शोध के दौरान, रोगी सहमति वापस ले सकता है और कारणों को बताने के बिना इस शोध से बाहर हो सकता है।

प्रमुख जांचकर्ता: डॉ नीलम छाजेड़ संपर्क संख्या: 9819093184

96